arXiv:1403.4102v3 [math.PR] 20 Aug 2014

DERIVATIVE FOR THE INTERSECTION LOCAL TIME OF FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTIONS*

LITAN YAN

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Donghua University
2999 North Renmin Rd., Songjiang, Shanghai 201620, P.R. China
E-mail : litanyan@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT. Let B^{H_1} and $\tilde{B}^{H_2}$ be two independent fractional Brownian motions on $\mathbb{R}$ with respective indices $H_i \in (0,1)$ and $H_1 \leq H_2$. In this paper, we consider their intersection local time $\ell_t(a)$. We show that $\ell_t(a)$ is differentiable in the spatial variable if $\frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} > 3$, and we introduce the so-called *hybrid quadratic covariation* $[f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]^{(HC)}$. When $H_1 < \frac{1}{2}$, we construct a Banach space $\mathscr{H}$ of measurable functions such that the quadratic covariation exists in $L^2(\Omega)$ for all $f \in \mathscr{H}$, and the Bouleau-Yor type identity

$$[f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t(da)$$

holds. When $H_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we show that the quadratic covariation exists also in $L^2(\Omega)$ and the above Bouleau-Yor type identity holds also for all Hölder functions f of order $\nu > \frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$.

1. Introduction

In the study of stochastic area integrals for Brownian motion B, Rogers-Walsh [27, 28] were led to analyze the following functional

$$A(t,x) = \int_0^t 1_{[0,\infty)}(x - B_s)ds, \quad t \geq 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By using the classical Itô calculus they showed that the process $\{A(t,B_t), t \geq 0\}$ is not a semi-martingale. In fact, they showed that the process

$$A(t,B_t) - \int_0^t \mathscr{L}(s,B_s)dB_s \tag{1.1}$$

has finite non-zero 4/3–variation, where $\mathscr{L}(t,x) = \int_0^t \delta(B_s - x)ds$ is the local time. Now, a natural idea is to consider the functional

$$A(t,\widetilde{B}_t) = \int_0^t 1_{[0,\infty)}(\widetilde{B}_t - B_s)ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\widetilde{B}$ is Brownian motion of independent B.

On the other hand, by a formal application of Itô's formula with respect to the Brownian motion and using

$$\frac{d}{dx}1_{\{x\geq 0\}} = \delta(x), \qquad \frac{d^2}{dx^2}1_{\{x\geq 0\}} = \delta'(x)$$

in the sense of Schwartz's distribution, Rosen [29] developed a new approach to the study of $A(t,B_t)$ as follows:

$$A(t,B_t) - \int_0^t \mathscr{L}(s,B_s)dB_s = t + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_0^s \delta'(B_s - B_r)drds$$

*The Project-sponsored by NSFC (11171062) and Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission(12ZZ063).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G15, 60G18, 60F25.

Key words and phrases. fractional Brownian motion, intersection local time, occupation formula, hybrid quadratic covariation, Malliavin calculus.

for all $t \geq 0$, and one can consider the process

$$\alpha'_t(a) := -\int_0^t \int_0^s \delta'(B_s - B_r - a)drds, \quad t \geq 0, a \in \mathbb{R}$$

which are called the *derivatives of self-intersection local time* (in short, DSLT) of Brownian motion. By using the idea, Yan et al. [37] deduced the existence of process

$$\beta'_t(a) := -\int_0^t ds \int_0^s \delta'(B_r^H - B_s^H - a)dr, \quad t \geq 0, a \in \mathbb{R},$$

which are called the DSLT of fractional Brownian motion (fBm) B^H. Moreover, Jung-Markowsky [20, 21] considered some in-depth results for $\beta'_t(a)$. Motivated by these results, in this paper, as an extension we consider the so-called *derivatives of the intersection local time* (DILT) of fBms which is formally defined as follows

$$\ell'_t(a) := -\int_0^t ds \int_0^s \delta'(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2} - a)dr, \quad t \geq 0, a \in \mathbb{R},$$

where B^{H_1} and $\tilde{B}^{H_2}$ are two independent fBms with respective indices $H_i \in (0,1)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ and $H_1 \leq H_2$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some preliminaries for fBm. In Section 3 we find the exact result of the existence of the DILT $\ell'_t(0)$ and prove the Hölder continuity of the DILT $\ell'_t(a)$. As a corollary we have

$$\ell'_t(a) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a}\ell_t(a),$$

and the occupation formula

$$\int_0^t ds \int_0^s f'(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})dr = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell'_t(a)da$$

holds for any $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \in [0,T]$, provided either $\frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} > 3$. In Section 4 and 5, we study the so-called *hybrid quadratic covariation*.

Definition 1.1. *Let $0 < H_1, H_2 < 1$ and let f be a Borel function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that the following integral exists:*

$$J_\varepsilon(H_1, H_2, t, f) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}} \int_0^t ds \int_0^s \left\{\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\right\} \left(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1}\right) dr$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, where

$$\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) := f(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) - f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}).$$

The limit $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} J_\varepsilon(H_1, H_2, t, f)$ is called the hybrid quadratic covariation (in short, HQC), provided the limit exists in $L^1(\Omega)$, denoted by $[f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)}$.

Clearly, we have

$$[f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = \int_0^t ds \int_0^s f'(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})dr$$

for all $0 < H_1 < 1$ and $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. When $0 < H_1 < \frac{1}{2}$, the HQC is considered in Section 4. By considering the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon(H_1, H_2, t, f) = &\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}} \int_0^t ds \int_0^s f(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) \left(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1}\right) dr \\ &- \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}} \int_0^t ds \int_0^s f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) \left(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1}\right) dr \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and by estimating the two terms on the right hand side, respectively, we construct a Banach space $\mathscr{H}$ of measurable functions such that the HQC exists in $L^2(\Omega)$ for all $f \in \mathscr{H}$. Moreover, for all $f \in \mathscr{H}$ and $0 < H_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ we show that the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell'_t(a)da$$

is well-defined and the following Bouleau-Yor type identity holds:

$$[f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell'_t(a)da \equiv -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t(da). \tag{1.3}$$

When $H_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the HQC is considered in Section 5. It is clear that the decomposition (1.2) does not bring any information and we need a new idea for $H_1 > \frac{1}{2}$. In fact, for $f(x) = x$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}}\int_0^t ds \int_0^s E\left[(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})\right] dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}}\int_0^t ds \int_0^s E\left[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})\right] dr \\ &\longrightarrow \infty,\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Thus, when $H_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, by estimating integrally the expression

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}}\int_0^t ds \int_0^s \left\{\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\right\}\left(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1}\right) dr,$$

and by using the existence of the Young integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t(da),$$

we show that the HQC exists in $L^2(\Omega)$ and the Bouleau-Yor type identity (1.3) holds for all Hölder functions f of order $\nu > \frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$. In Appendix we give the proofs of some basic estimates.

2. Fractional Brownian motion

In this section, we briefly recall some basic results of fBm with $0 < H < 1$ and give some basic estimates. For more aspects on the material we refer to E. Alós *et al* [1], Biagini *et al* [2], Cheridito-Nualart [4], Decreusefond-Üstünel [6], Gradinaru *et al* [15], Hu [18], Mishura [22], Nourdin [24], Nualart [25] and references therein. parameters.

A zero mean Gaussian process $B^H = \{B_t^H, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^H, P)$ is called the fBm with Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$ if $B_0^H = 0$ and

$$E\left[B_t^H B_s^H\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left[t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right]$$

for $t, s \geq 0$. FBm B^H admits the integral representation of the form

$$B_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t,s)dB_s, \qquad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where B is a standard Brownian motion and the kernel $K_H(t,s)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(t,s) = \kappa_H\left(H - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(t-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}$$

with a normalizing constant $\kappa_H > 0$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the completion of the linear space $\mathcal{E}$ generated by the indicator functions $1_{[0,t]}, t \in [0,T]$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle 1_{[0,s]}, 1_{[0,t]}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2}\left[t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right].$$

The application

$$\mathcal{E} \ni \varphi \mapsto B^H(\varphi) := \int_0^T \varphi(s)dB_s^H$$

is an isometry from $\mathcal{E}$ to the Gaussian space generated by B^H and it can be extended to $\mathcal{H}$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the set of smooth functionals of the form

$$F = f(B^H(\varphi_1), B^H(\varphi_2), \ldots, B^H(\varphi_n)),$$

where $f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (f and all its derivatives are bounded) and $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{H}$. The *derivative operator* D^H (the Malliavin derivative) of a functional F of the form above is defined as

$$D^H F = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(B^H(\varphi_1), B^H(\varphi_2), \ldots, B^H(\varphi_n))\varphi_j.$$

The derivative operator D^H is then a closable operator from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H})$. We denote by $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ the closure of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to the norm

$$\|F\|_{1,2} := \sqrt{E|F|^2 + E\|D^H F\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}.$$

The *divergence integral* δ^H is the adjoint of derivative operator D^H. That is, we say that a random variable u in $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H})$ belongs to the domain of the divergence operator δ^H, denoted by $\mathrm{Dom}(\delta^H)$, if

$$E\left|\langle D^H F, u\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right| \le c\|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for every $F \in \mathcal{S}$. In this case $\delta^H(u)$ is defined by the duality relationship

$$E\left[F\delta^H(u)\right] = E\langle D^H F, u\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{2.1}$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. We have $\mathbb{D}^{1,2} \subset \mathrm{Dom}(\delta^H)$. We will use the notation

$$\delta^H(u) = \int_0^T u_s dB_s^H$$

to express the Skorohod integral of a process u, and the indefinite Skorohod integral is defined as $\int_0^t u_s dB_s^H = \delta^H(u1_{[0,t]})$. We can localize the domains of the operators D^H and δ^H. If $\mathbb{L}$ is a class of random variables (or processes) we denote by $\mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ the set of random variables F such that there exists a sequence $\{(\Omega_n, F^n), n \ge 1\} \subset \mathscr{F}^H \times \mathbb{L}$ with the following properties:

(i) $\Omega_n \uparrow \Omega$, a.s.
(ii) $F = F^n$ a.s. on Ω_n.

If $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}$, and (Ω_n, F^n) localizes F in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, then $D^H F$ is defined without ambiguity by $D^H F = D^H F^n$ on Ω_n, $n \ge 1$. Then, if $u \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}$, the divergence $\delta^H(u)$ is defined as a random variable determined by the conditions

$$\delta^H(u)|_{\Omega_n} = \delta^H(u^n)|_{\Omega_n} \qquad \text{for all } n \ge 1,$$

where (Ω_n, u^n) is a localizing sequence for u, but it may depend on the localizing sequence.

3. Existence and Hölder continuity of the DILT of fBms

In this section we will consider the existence and continuity of the DILT of fBms. Let $B^{H_i} = \left\{B_t^{H_i}, t \ge 0\right\}$, $i = 1, 2$ be two independent fractional Brownian motions with respective indices $H_i \in (0, 1)$and $H_1 \le H_2$. The intersection local time, denoted by $\ell_t(a)$, is formally defined by

$$\ell_t(a) = \int_0^t ds \int_0^s \delta(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2} - a)dr, \qquad t \ge 0,\ a \in \mathbb{R},$$

where δ denotes the Dirac delta function. Nualart and Ortiz-Latorre [26] has showed the random variables $\ell_t(0)$, $t \ge 0$ exist in L^2 (see also, Chen-Yan [5], Jiang-Wang [19] and Wu-Xiao [33]). By approximating the Dirac delta function by the heat kernel

$$p_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\varepsilon}} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} e^{-\varepsilon\frac{\xi^2}{2}} d\xi. \tag{3.1}$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$, one can define $\ell_t(a) := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \ell_{\varepsilon,t}(a)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, where

$$\ell_{\varepsilon,t}(a) = \int_0^t ds \int_0^s p_\varepsilon(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2} - a)dr.$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(a) &= -\int_0^t ds \int_0^s p'_\varepsilon(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2} - a)dr \\ &= -\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_0^t ds \int_0^s dr \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi e^{i\xi(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2} - a)} \cdot e^{-\varepsilon\frac{\xi^2}{2}} d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The process

$$\ell'_t(a) := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(a)$$

is called the *derivatives of the intersection local time* (DILT) of fBms, provided the limit exists in $L^1(\Omega)$. We first obtain the exact result of the existence.

For simplicity we assume that C stands for a positive constant depending only on some determinate parameters, and moreover, the notation $F \asymp G$ means that the ratio $F(x)/G(x)$ is bounded from below and above by positive constants that do not depend on x in the common domain of definition for F and G. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_{r,s} := \mathrm{Var}(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) = r^{2H_1} + s^{2H_2}, \\ &\mu_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2} := E\left[(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})\right] \\ &\rho^2_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2} = \lambda_{r+\varepsilon_1,s}\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon_2,s'} - \mu^2_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}. \end{aligned}$$

for $s > r > 0, s' > r' > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$. Set $\mu := \mu_{0.0}$ and $\rho := \rho_{0,0}$. The next lemma will proved in Appendix 6

Lemma 3.1. *For all $s > r > 0$ and $s' > r' > 0$, we have*

$$\rho^2 = \lambda_{r,s}\lambda_{r',s'} - \mu^2 \asymp \left((r\wedge r')^{2H_1} + (s\wedge s')^{2H_2}\right)\left(|r-r'|^{2H_1} + |s-s'|^{2H_2}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Theorem 3.1. *For every $t > 0$, $\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0)$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$, as ε tends to 0 if $\frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} > 3$.*

Proof. Denote $\mathbb{T} = \{0 < r < s < t,\ 0 < r' < s' < t\}$ for any $t > 0$. Then we have

$$E\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0) = -\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_0^t ds \int_0^s dr \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi E e^{i\xi(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})} e^{-\varepsilon\xi^2/2} d\xi = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0)^2\right] &= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} drdsdr'ds' \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi\eta e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\xi^2+\eta^2)} \\ &\qquad \cdot E\exp\left(i(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\xi + i(B_{r'}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})\eta\right) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} drdsdr'ds' \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi\eta \\ &\qquad \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[(\lambda_{r,s}+\varepsilon)\xi^2 + 2\mu\xi\eta + (\lambda_{r',s'}+\varepsilon)\eta^2\right]\right) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\mu drdsdr'ds'}{\left((\lambda_{r,s}+\varepsilon)(\lambda_{r',s'}+\varepsilon) - \mu^2\right)^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, which deduce that $\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0) \in L^2(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\mu drdsdr'ds'}{\left(\lambda_{r,s}\lambda_{r',s'} - \mu^2\right)^{3/2}} < \infty$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1 and Young's inequality, we get

$$\lambda_{r,s}\lambda_{r',s'}-\mu^2 \geq C(r\wedge r')^{2\alpha H_1}(s\wedge s')^{2(1-\alpha)H_2}|r-r'|^{2\alpha H_1}|s-s'|^{2(1-\alpha)H_2} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $0\leq\alpha\leq 1$. Since $\frac{1}{H_1}+\frac{1}{H_2}>3$ we can take

$$\alpha=\frac{H_2}{H_1+H_2}$$

so that $3\alpha H_1=3(1-\alpha)H_2<1$. This proves

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{|\mu|drdsdr'ds'}{\left(\lambda_{r,s}\lambda_{r',s'}-\mu^2\right)^{3/2}}<\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{|\mu|drdsdr'ds'}{[(r\wedge r')(s\wedge s')|r-r'||s-s'|]^{\theta}}<\infty$$

with $\theta=\frac{3H_1H_2}{H_1+H_2}$ if $\frac{1}{H_1}+\frac{1}{H_2}>3$.

Finally, we claim that the sequence $\{\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0),\varepsilon>0\}$ is of Cauchy in $L^2(\Omega)$. For any $\delta,\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}E(|\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0)-\ell'_{\delta,t}(0)|^2)&=\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}drdsdr'ds'\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\xi\eta E\exp\left\{i\xi(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)+i\eta(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})\right\}\\&\quad\cdot\left(e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\xi^2}-e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}\xi^2}\right)\left(e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\eta^2}-e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}\eta^2}\right)d\xi d\eta drds\\&=\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}drdsdr'ds'\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{r,s}\xi^2+2\mu\xi\eta+\lambda_{r',s'}\eta^2)}\left(1-e^{-\frac{|\varepsilon-\delta|^2|\xi|^2}{2}}\right)\\&\quad\cdot\left(1-e^{-\frac{|\varepsilon-\delta|^2|\eta|^2}{2}}\right)e^{-\frac{\varepsilon\wedge\delta}{2}(\xi^2+\eta^2)}d\xi d\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, dominated convergence theorem yields

$$E(|\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0)-\ell'_{\delta,t}(0)|^2)\longrightarrow 0$$

as $\varepsilon\to 0$ and $\delta\to 0$, which leads to $\{\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0),\ \varepsilon>0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega,\mathscr{F},P)$. Consequently, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0)$ exists in $L^2(\Omega,\mathscr{F},P)$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.1. *If $0<H_1<\frac{1}{3\alpha}$ and $0<H_2<\frac{1}{3(1-\alpha)}$ with $0<\alpha<1$, $\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0)$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ for every $t>0$, as ε tends to 0. In particular, if either $H_1<\frac{1}{2}$ or $H_2<\frac{2}{3}$, $\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(0)$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ for every $t>0$, as ε tends to 0.*

At the end of this section, we consider the Hölder continuity and the occupation-time formula for the DILT $\{\ell'_t(a);t\geq 0,a\in\mathbb{R}\}$. Our main object is to explain and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $0<H_1\leq H_2<1$. If $\frac{1}{H_1}+\frac{1}{H_2}>3$, then the processes $\ell'_{\varepsilon,t}(a)$ converges almost surely, and in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p\in(0,\infty)$, as ε tends to zero. Moreover, the process $\ell'_t(a)$ has a modification which is a.s. jointly Hölder continuous in (a,t).*

In order to prove the theorem we denote $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{t,t'}(u)=\{t<u_n<u_{n-1}<\cdots<u_1<t'\}$ for $0\leq t<t'\leq T$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Lambda}(t,t',n,\gamma):&=\int_{\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{t,t'}(u)}\int_{\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{0,t'}(v)}dv_1\cdots dv_ndu_1\cdots du_n\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\prod_{j=1}^n|\xi_j|^{1+\gamma}d\xi_j\\&\quad\cdot\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa\left[(\sum_{k=1}^n\xi'_k)^2(u_n)^{2H_1}+(\sum_{k=1}^n\xi''_k)^2(v_n)^{2H_2}\right]\right\}\\&\quad\cdot\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa\left[(\sum_{k=1}^j\xi'_k)^2(u_j-u_{j+1})^{2H_1}+(\sum_{k=1}^j\xi''_k)^2(v_j-v_{j+1})^{2H_2}\right]\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

with $\gamma\geq 0$, $\kappa>0$ and $n=1,2,\ldots$, where $\xi'_1,\xi'_2,\ldots,\xi'_n$ and $\xi''_1,\xi''_2,\ldots,\xi''_n$ are two arbitrary rearrangements of the set $\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\ldots,\xi_n\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $0 < H_1, H_2 < 1$ and $\frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} > 3$. Then we have*

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(t, t', n, \gamma) \le C(t' - t)^{n\theta}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{3.6}$$

with $0 < \theta \le 1 - H_2\left(\frac{1}{q} + 2(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\right)$, provided $0 < \alpha < 1$, $q > 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} 2(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) < \frac{1}{H_2} - \frac{1}{q}, \\ 2(1+\gamma)\alpha < \frac{1}{H_1} - 1 + \frac{1}{q}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we can take $\theta = \frac{1}{H_1}(\alpha(H_1 + H_2) - H_2)$ with $\frac{H_2}{H_1+H_2} < \alpha < 1$ and $q = (1-\alpha)^{-1}$, provided $2\gamma < (\frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} - 3) \wedge 2$.

The above lemma will be proved in Appendix 6.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Kolmogorov continuity criterion, we first show that the estimates

$$E\left|\ell'_{t',\varepsilon'}(a') - \ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)\right|^n \le C|(t', \varepsilon', a') - (t, \varepsilon, a)|^{n\lambda}, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots \tag{3.7}$$

hold for all $t, t' \in [0, T], a, a' \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$ and some $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The estimate (3.7) will be done in three parts and denote $\mathbb{D}_{l,l'} = \{l \le r < s \le l'\}$.

Step I. We need to obtain the estimates

$$E\left|\ell'_{t,\varepsilon'}(a) - \ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)\right|^n \le C|\varepsilon' - \varepsilon|^{n\lambda}, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots$$

for all $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. By (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left|\ell'_{t,\varepsilon'}(a) - \ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)\right|^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\Big|\int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^n} dr_1 \cdots dr_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E\prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left\{i\xi_j(B^{H_1}_{r_j} - B^{H_2}_{s_j})\right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_j \left(\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon'\xi_j^2\right\} - \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\xi_j^2\right\}\right) e^{-i\xi_j a} d\xi_j\Big| \\ &\le C|\varepsilon' - \varepsilon|^{n\lambda} \int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^n} dr_1 \cdots dr_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{1+2\lambda} d\xi_j \\ &\quad \cdot \Big|E\prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left\{i\xi_j(B^{H_1}_{r_j} - B^{H_2}_{s_j})\right\}\Big| \\ &\equiv C|\varepsilon' - \varepsilon|^{n\lambda}\Lambda(0, t, n, 2\lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $n = 2, 4, \dots$ and some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ by the inequality

$$\left|e^{-\varepsilon x} - e^{-\varepsilon' x}\right| \le C x^\lambda |\varepsilon - \varepsilon'|^\lambda$$

for all $x > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Now, we need to prove $\Lambda(0, t, n, 2\lambda) < \infty$. Let us first consider the expectation of product. This expectation in the integrand will take different forms over different regions of integration, depending on the ordering of r_j and s_j. Fix such an ordering and let $u_1 > u_2 > \cdots > u_n$ and $v_1 > v_2 > \cdots > v_n$ be the relabeling of the sets $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$ and $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ respectively. Thanks to the local nondeterminism of fBm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j B^H_{u_j}\right) &= \mathrm{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^j \eta_k\left(B^H_{u_j} - B^H_{u_{j+1}}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k B^H_{u_n}\right) \\ &\ge \kappa \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(\sum_{k=1}^j \eta_k)^2 (u_j - u_{j+1})^{2H} + \kappa(\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k)^2 (u_n)^{2H} \end{aligned}$$

for a constant $\kappa > 0$ and any fBm B^H with Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$, where $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n$ are some real numbers. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j (B^{H_1}_{r_j} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s_j})\right) &= \mathrm{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j B^{H_1}_{r_j}\right) + \mathrm{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s_j}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi'_j B^{H_1}_{u_j}\right) + \mathrm{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi''_j \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{v_j}\right) \\
&\geq \kappa \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\sum_{k=1}^{j} \xi'_k)^2 (u_j - u_{j+1})^{2H_1} + \kappa (\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi'_k)^2 (u_n)^{2H_1} \\
&\quad + \kappa \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\sum_{k=1}^{j} \xi''_k)^2 (v_j - v_{j+1})^{2H_2} + \kappa (\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi''_k)^2 (v_n)^{2H_2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi'_1, \xi'_2, \dots, \xi'_n$ and $\xi''_1, \xi''_2, \dots, \xi''_n$ are two rearrangements of the set $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\}$ such that $\{(\xi'_1, u_1), (\xi'_2, u_2), \dots, (\xi'_n, u_n)\}$ and $\{(\xi''_1, v_1), (\xi''_2, v_2), \dots, (\xi''_n, v_n)\}$ are the rearrangements of $\{(\xi_1, r_1), (\xi_2, r_2), \dots, (\xi_n, r_n)\}$ and $\{(\xi_1, s_1), (\xi_2, s_2), \dots, (\xi_n, s_n)\}$ via the second coordinates, respectively. Combining this with Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(0,t,n,2\lambda) &\leq C \int_{\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{0,t}(u)} du_1 \cdots du_n \int_{\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{0,t}(v)} dv_1 \cdots dv_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{1+2\lambda} d\xi_j \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa \left[(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi'_k)^2 (u_n)^{2H_1} + (\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi''_k)^2 (v_n)^{2H_2}\right]\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa \left[(\sum_{k=1}^{j} \xi'_k)^2 (u_j - u_{j+1})^{2H_1} + (\sum_{k=1}^{j} \xi''_k)^2 (v_j - v_{j+1})^{2H_2}\right]\right\} \\
&= C\widetilde{\Lambda}(0,t,n,2\lambda) < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0,T]$ and $n = 2, 4, \dots$, provided $0 < 4\lambda < \frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} - 3$, which proves that the estimate

$$E\left|\ell'_{t,\varepsilon'}(a) - \ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)\right|^n \leq C|\varepsilon' - \varepsilon|^{n\lambda}$$

holds for $n = 2, 4, \dots$ and $t \in [0,T]$, if we choose λ so that $0 < 4\lambda < \frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} - 3$.

Step II. We obtain the estimate

$$E\left|\ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(b) - \ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)\right|^n \leq C|b-a|^{n\lambda}, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots \tag{3.9}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$ and some $\lambda \in (0,1)$. We have, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [0,T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
&E|\ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(b) - \ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)|^n \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \Big| \int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^n} dr_1 \cdots dr_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E \prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left\{i\xi_j (B^{H_1}_{r_j} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s_j})\right\} \\
&\qquad \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_j \left(\exp\{-ib\xi_j\} - \exp\{-ia\xi_j\}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\xi_j^2} d\xi_j \Big| \\
&\quad \leq C|b-a|^{n\lambda} \int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^n} dr_1 \cdots dr_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{1+\lambda} d\xi_j \\
&\qquad \cdot \Big| E \prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left\{i\xi_j (B^{H_1}_{r_j} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s_j})\right\} \Big| \\
&\quad = C|b-a|^{n\lambda} \Lambda(0,t,n,\lambda)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ by the inequality

$$\left| e^{-ixb} - e^{-ixa} \right| \le C|x|^{\lambda}|b-a|^{\lambda}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. This shows that the estimate (3.9) holds for $n = 2, 4, \dots$ and $t \in [0, T]$, if we choose λ so that $2\lambda < \frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} - 3$.

Step III. We obtain the estimate

$$E\left|\ell'_{t',\varepsilon}(a) - \ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)\right|^n \le C|t'-t|^{n\lambda}, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots \tag{3.11}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $t' > t \ge 0$ and some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. In order to prove the estimate (3.11) we have, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}, t, t' \in [0, T]$ and $t < t'$

$$\begin{aligned} E|\ell'_{t',\varepsilon}(a) - \ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)|^n &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\Big| \int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t'} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{0,t})^n} dr_1 \cdots dr_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_j e^{-i\xi_j a} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\xi_j^2} d\xi_j \\ &\qquad \cdot E \prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left\{ i\xi_j (B^{H_1}_{r_j} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s_j}) \right\} \Big| \\ &\le \int_{[t,t']^n} \int_{[0,s_1]\times[0,s_2]\times\cdots\times[0,s_n]} dr_1 \cdots dr_n ds_1 \cdots ds_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j| d\xi_j \\ &\qquad \cdot \left| E \prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left\{ i\xi_j (B^{H_1}_{r_j} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s_j}) \right\} \right| \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

for all $n = 2, 4, \dots$. It follows from Step I and Lemma 3.2 that

$$E\left|\ell'_{t'}(a) - \ell'_t(a)\right|^n \le C\widetilde{\Lambda}(t, t', n, 0) \le C(t'-t)^{n\lambda}$$

for all $0 < \lambda \le \frac{1}{H_1}(\alpha(H_1+H_2) - H_2)$ with $\frac{H_2}{H_1+H_2} < \alpha < 1$. Thus, we have obtained the desired estimate (3.7) and the limit

$$\ell'_t(a) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)$$

exists almost surely, and in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p \in (0, \infty)$.

Finally, as two direct consequences of Step II and Step III we see that

$$E\left|\ell'_t(b) - \ell'_t(a)\right|^n \le C|b-a|^{n\lambda}, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$E\left|\ell'_{t'}(a) - \ell'_t(a)\right|^n \le C|t'-t|^{n\beta}, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots \tag{3.14}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t', t \ge 0$ if we choose λ and β so that $2\lambda < \frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} - 3$ and $0 < \beta \le \frac{1}{H_1}(\alpha(H_1+H_2) - H_2)$ with $\frac{H_2}{H_1+H_2} < \alpha < 1$. These show that $\ell'_t(a)$ exists in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p > 0$, $t \in [0, T]$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and has a modification which is a.s. jointly Hölder continuous in (a, t). □

Theorem 3.3. *Let $0 < H_1, H_2 < 1$. The processes $\ell_{\varepsilon,t}(a), \varepsilon > 0$ converges almost surely, and in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p \in (0, \infty)$, as ε tends to zero. Moreover, the process $\ell_t(a)$ has a modification which is a.s. jointly Hölder continuous in (a, t). In particular, $a \mapsto \ell_t(a)$ is Hölder continuous of order $\gamma < \min\{\frac{1}{2H_1} + \frac{1}{2H_2} - \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$.*

Proof. In a same way as proof of Theorem 3.2, one can obtain the estimate

$$E\left|\ell_{t',\varepsilon'}(a') - \ell_{t,\varepsilon}(a)\right|^n \le C|(t', \varepsilon', a') - (t, \varepsilon, a)|^{n\beta}, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots \tag{3.15}$$

for all $t,t'\in[0,T]$, $a,a'\in\mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon,\varepsilon'>0$ and some $\beta\in(0,1]$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}E|\ell_{t,\varepsilon}(a')-\ell_{t,\varepsilon}(a)|^n &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\Big|\int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^n} dr_1\cdots dr_n ds_1\cdots ds_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E\prod_{j=1}^n \exp\Big\{i\xi_j(B^{H_1}_{r_j}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s_j})\Big\}\\ &\qquad\cdot\prod_{j=1}^n\left(\exp\{-ia'\xi_j\}-\exp\{-ia\xi_j\}\right)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\xi_j^2}d\xi_j\Big|\\ &\le C|a'-a|^{n\lambda}\int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^n} dr_1\cdots dr_n ds_1\cdots ds_n\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\prod_{j=1}^n|\xi_j|^{\lambda}d\xi_j\\ &\qquad\cdot\Big|E\prod_{j=1}^n\exp\Big\{i\xi_j(B^{H_1}_{r_j}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s_j})\Big\}\Big|\\ &\le C|a'-a|^{n\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

for all $0<2\lambda<\left(\frac{1}{H_1}+\frac{1}{H_2}-1\right)\wedge 2$, and the theorem follows. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let $0<H_1,H_2<1$. If $\frac{1}{H_1}+\frac{1}{H_2}>3$, we have $\ell'_t(a)=\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\ell_t(a)$ a.s. for all $t\ge 0$ and $a\in\mathbb{R}$, i.e. $\ell_t(a)$ is differentiable in a for all $t\ge 0$ and*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\ell_t(a)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a),$$

almost surely, and in $L^p(\Omega)$ with $p>0$.

Proof. It is clear that $\ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a)=\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\ell_{t,\varepsilon}(a)$ for any $\varepsilon,t>0,a\in\mathbb{R}$ and hence

$$\ell_{t,\varepsilon}(a)=\ell_{t,\varepsilon}(b)+\int_b^a\ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(x)dx$$

for all $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. On the other hand, the estimates (3.7) and (3.15) assure that a locally uniform and hence continuous limits

$$\ell'_t(a)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\ell'_{t,\varepsilon}(a) \tag{3.16}$$

$$\ell_t(a)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\ell_{t,\varepsilon}(a) \tag{3.17}$$

hold. The locally uniform convergence (3.16) and (3.17) imply that

$$\ell_t(a)=\ell_t(b)+\int_b^a\ell'_t(x)dx$$

and therefore $\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\ell_t(a)=\ell'_t(a)$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $0<H_1,H_2<1$.*

(1) *If $\frac{1}{H_1}+\frac{1}{H_2}>3$, we then have*

$$\int_0^t ds\int_0^s f'(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)dr=-\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(a)\ell'_t(a)da \tag{3.18}$$

for any $f\in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $t\in[0,T]$.

(2) *If f is continuous, then*

$$\int_0^t\int_0^s f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)drds=\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(a)\ell_t(a)da \tag{3.19}$$

for all $t\in[0,T]$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. By the locally L^1 convergence in (3.16) and noting that both B^{H_1} and $\tilde{B}^{H_2}$ are a.s. bounded on $[0,t]$, we see that the following manipulations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t'(a)da &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_{t,\varepsilon}'(a)da\\ &= -\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)da\int_0^t ds\int_0^s p_\varepsilon'(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2}-a)dr\\ &= -\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^t ds\int_0^s dr\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(a)p_\varepsilon(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2}-a)da\\ &= -\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^t ds\int_0^s dr(f'*p_\varepsilon)(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\\ &= -\int_0^t ds\int_0^s dr f'(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\end{aligned}$$

for any $f\in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support. Since both B^{H_1} and $\tilde{B}^{H_2}$ are bounded a.s. we have that $a\mapsto \ell_t'(a)$ has compact support a.s. so that the above manipulations hold for all C^1-functions f.

Similarly, one can obtain the identity (3.19), and the theorem follows. □

4. The hybrid quadratic covariation, case $H_1 < \frac{1}{2}$

In this section we throughout let $H_1\le H_2$, and inspired by the occupation formula (3.18), our main aim is to obtain the following Bouleau-Yor type identity

$$[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t'(a)da,\quad t\ge 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for some suitable Borel functions f. Recall that the quadratic covariation $[f(B),B]$ of Brownian motion B can be characterized as

$$[f(B),B]_t = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\mathscr{L}^B(da,t), \tag{4.2}$$

where f is locally square integrable and $\mathscr{L}^B(x,t)$ is the local time of Brownian motion. This is called the Bouleau-Yor identity. More works for this can be found in Bouleau-Yor [3], Eisenbaum [8, 9], Errami-Russo [10], Feng–Zhao [11, 12], Follmer *et al* [13], Gradinaru *et al* [16], Moret-Nualart [23], Rogers–Walsh [28], Russo-Vallois [30, 31], Yan *et al* [34, 36] and the references therein.

Recall that

$$J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}}\int_0^t ds\int_0^s \left\{f(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})-f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\right\}\left(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1}\right)dr$$

for any Borel function f and the HQC $[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)}$ is defined by

$$[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f), \tag{4.3}$$

provided the limit exists in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Corollary 4.1. *If $f\in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, we then have*

$$[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = \int_0^t ds\int_0^s f'(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})dr \tag{4.4}$$

for all $t\in[0,T]$. In particular, we have

$$[B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2},B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = \frac{1}{2}t^2.$$

As a direct consequence of the above corollary and (3.18), we have

$$[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)}=-\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(a)\ell_t'(a)da \tag{4.5}$$

for all $f\in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $t\geq 0$. In order to prove the existence of the HQC, we decompose $J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f)&=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}}\int_0^t ds\int_0^s f(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)\left(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r\right)dr\\&\quad-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}}\int_0^t ds\int_0^s f(B^{H_1}_{r}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)\left(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r\right)dr\\&\equiv J_\varepsilon^+(H_1,H_2,t,f)-J_\varepsilon^-(H_1,H_2,t,f)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $t\in[0,T]$, and consider the set

$$\mathscr{H}=\{f\ :\ \text{measurable functions on }\mathbb{R}\text{ such that }\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}<\infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}:=\int_0^T\int_0^s\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|^2e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(r^{2H_1}+s^{2H_2})}}\frac{dxdrds}{\sqrt{2\pi(r^{2H_1}+s^{2H_2})}}.$$

Then, $\mathscr{H}$ is a Banach space. In fact, we have $\mathscr{H}=L^2(\mathbb{R},\mu(dx))$ with

$$\mu(dx)=\left(\int_0^T\int_0^s e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(r^{2H_1}+s^{2H_2})}}\frac{drds}{\sqrt{2\pi(r^{2H_1}+s^{2H_2})}}\right)dx$$

and $\mu(\mathbb{R})=\frac{1}{2}T^2<\infty$, which implies that the set $\mathscr{E}$ of elementary functions of the form

$$f_\triangle(x)=\sum_i f_i1_{(x_{i-1},x_i]}(x)$$

is dense in $\mathscr{H}$, where $\{x_i,0\leq i\leq l\}$ is an finite sequence of real numbers such that $x_i<x_{i+1}$.

Recall that the space $\mathcal{C}^\nu$ of ν-Hölder continuous functions $f:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{(\nu)}:=\|f\|_\infty+\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^\nu}<\infty,$$

where $\mu\in(0,1]$ and $\|f\|_\infty=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|$.

Corollary 4.2. *For all $H_1,H_2\in(0,1)$, we have $\mathscr{H}\supset\mathcal{C}^\nu$ for all $\nu\in(0,1]$.*

For simplicity we let $T=1$ in the following discussions.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $0<H_1<\frac{1}{2}$ and $f\in\mathscr{H}$. Then, the HQC $[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]^{(HC)}$ exists in $L^2(\Omega)$ and*

$$E\left|[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)}\right|^2\leq C_{H_1,H_2,T}\|f\|^2_{\mathscr{H}} \tag{4.6}$$

for all $t\in[0,1]$.

In order to prove the theorem we claim that the following two statements:

- for any $\varepsilon>0$, $t\in[0,1]$, and $f\in\mathscr{H}$, $J_\varepsilon^\pm(H_1,H_2,f,t)\in L^2(\Omega)$. That is,

$$E\left|J_\varepsilon^-(H_1,H_2,f,t)\right|^2\leq C_{H_1,H_2,T}\|f\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$E\left|J_\varepsilon^+(H_1,H_2,f,t)\right|^2\leq C_{H_1,H_2}\|f\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}. \tag{4.8}$$

- $J_\varepsilon^-(H_1,H_2,f,t)$ and $J_\varepsilon^+(H_1,H_2,f,t)$ are two Cauchy's sequences in $L^2(\Omega)$ for all $t\in[0,1]$. That is,

$$E\left|J_{\varepsilon_1}^-(H_1,H_2,f,t)-J_{\varepsilon_2}^-(H_1,H_2,f,t)\right|^2\longrightarrow 0, \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$E\left|J_{\varepsilon_1}^+(H_1,H_2,f,t)-J_{\varepsilon_2}^+(H_1,H_2,f,t)\right|^2\longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.10}$$

for all $t\in[0,1]$, as $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0$.

We split the proof of two statements into two parts and this is similar to Yan *et al.* [35]. Let $T=1$ for simplicity and we also need the next lemmas which are some elementary calculations.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\lambda_{r,s},\mu$ and ρ^2 be defined in Section 2. If $f\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ admit compact support. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&|E\left[f'(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})f'(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})\right]|\\&\quad\le\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{r',s'}\lambda_{r,s}}}{\rho^2}\left(E\left[|f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})|^2\right]E\left[|f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})|^2\right]\right)^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&|E\left[f''(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})\right]|\\&\quad\le\frac{3\lambda_{r',s'}}{\rho^2}\left(E\left[|f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})|^2\right]E\left[|f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})|^2\right]\right)^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

for all $(r,s,r',s')\in\mathbb{T}=\{0<r<s<t,\ 0<r'<s'<t\}$.

Lemma 4.2 (Yan *et al.* [35])**.** *Let $0<H<\frac12$.*

(1) *For all $t>s>r>0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|E\left[B_t^H(B_t^H-B_s^H)\right]\right|\le(t-s)^{2H},\\&\left|E\left[B_t^H(B_s^H-B_r^H)\right]\right|\le(s-r)^{2H},\\&\left|E\left[B_r^H(B_t^H-B_s^H)\right]\right|\le(t-s)^{2H},\\&\left|E\left[B_s^H(B_t^H-B_r^H)\right]\right|\le(t-s)^{2H}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

(2) *For all $0<s'<t'<s<t$ we have*

$$\left|E\left[(B_t^H-B_s^H)(B_{t'}^H-B_{s'}^H)\right]\right|\le\frac{(t-s)^{2H}(t'-s')^{2H}}{(s-t')^{2H}}. \tag{4.14}$$

Part I : Proof of the estimates (4.7) *and* (4.8). We have

$$\begin{aligned}E|J_\varepsilon^-(H_1,H_2,f,t)|^2&=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{4H_1}}\int_0^t\int_0^t dsds'\\&\cdot\int_0^s\int_0^{s'}E\left[f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]drdr'\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $t\ge0$. Now, let us estimate the express

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(r,s,r',s';H_1,H_2):=E\left[f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]$$

for all $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2>0$ and $s>r>0,s'>r'>0$. Thus, it is enough to obtain the estimates (4.7) and (4.8) for all $f\in\mathscr{E}$. By approximating we can assume that f is an infinitely differentiable

function with compact support. It follows from the duality relationship (2.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(r,s,r',s';H_1,H_2)\\
&= E\left[f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\int_{r'}^{r'+\varepsilon_2} dB_l^{H_1}\right]\\
&= E\left[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[f'(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f'(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})\right]\\
&= E\left[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]E\left[f''(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]E\left[f'(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f'(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]E\left[f'(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f'(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]E\left[f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f''(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})\right]\\
&\equiv \sum_{j=1}^{5}\Psi_j(r,s,r',s',\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.15}
$$

for all $s>r>0, s'>r'>0$ and $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2>0$. In order to end the proof we claim to estimate

$$
\Lambda_j := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{4H_1}}\left|\int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^2}\Psi_j(r,s,r',s',\varepsilon,\varepsilon)dr'ds'drds\right|, \quad j=1,2,3,4,5 \tag{4.16}
$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, where $\mathbb{D}_{0,t}=\{0\le r<s\le t\}$

For $j=5$, from the fact

$$
|E\left[(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]| \le \varepsilon^{2H_1} < \frac{\varepsilon^{4H_1}}{(r-r')^{2H_1}}
$$

for $0<r-r'<\varepsilon$ and the estimate (4.14) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_5 &\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{4H_1}}\int_0^t\int_0^t dsds'\int_0^s dr\int_0^{s'}dr'\\
&\qquad\cdot\left(E[|f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})|^2]+E[|f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})|^2]\right)\left|E[(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})]\right|\\
&\le C\int_0^t ds\int_0^s E\left[\left|f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\right|^2\right]dr\int_0^t ds'\int_0^{s'}\frac{dr'}{|r-r'|^{2H_1}}\\
&\le C\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^2
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $0<\varepsilon\le 1$ and $t\in[0,1]$.

For $j=1$, from Lemma 4.2, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_1 &\le \int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^2} |E\left[f''(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})\right]|dr'ds'drds\\ &\le \int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^2} dr'ds'drds\frac{3\lambda_{r',s'}}{\rho^2}E\left[|f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)|^2\right]\\ &\quad+\int_{(\mathbb{D}_{0,t})^2} dr'ds'drds\frac{3\lambda_{r',s'}}{\rho^2}E\left[|f(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})|^2\right]\\ &\le C\int_0^t ds\int_0^s drE\left[|f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)|^2\right]\int_0^t ds'\int_0^{s'}\frac{dr'}{|r-r'|^{2H_1}}\le C\|f\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}\end{aligned}$$

for all $0<\varepsilon\le 1$ and $t\in[0,1]$. Similarly, we can obtain the estimate (4.16) for $j=2,3,4$, and the estimates (4.7) follows.

Similarly one can prove the estimate (4.8) and the first statement follows. □

Part II : Proof of the estimates (4.9) *and* (4.10). Without loss of generality one may assume that $\varepsilon_1>\varepsilon_2$ and for $f\in\mathscr{H}$ we take the sequence $\{f_{\triangle,n}\}\subset\mathscr{E}$ such that $f_{\triangle,n}\to f$ in $\mathscr{H}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&E|J_{\varepsilon_1}(H_1,H_2,t,f)-J_{\varepsilon_2}(H_1,H_2,t,f)|^2\\ &\quad\le 3E|J_{\varepsilon_1}(H_1,H_2,t,f-f_{\triangle,n})|^2+3E|J_{\varepsilon_2}(H_1,H_2,t,f-f_{\triangle,n})|^2\\ &\qquad\qquad+3E|J_{\varepsilon_1}(H_1,H_2,t,f_{\triangle,n})-J_{\varepsilon_2}(H_1,H_2,t,f_{\triangle,n})|^2\\ &\quad\le C_{H_1,H_2}\|f-f_{\triangle,n}\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}+3E|J_{\varepsilon_1}(H_1,H_2,t,f_{\triangle,n})-J_{\varepsilon_2}(H_1,H_2,t,f_{\triangle,n})|^2\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2>0$ and all $n\ge 1$. Thus, it is enough to obtain the estimates (4.9) and (4.10) for all $f\in\mathscr{E}$. By approximating we can assume that f is an infinitely differentiable function with compact support. It follows from (4.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}&E\left|J^-_{\varepsilon_1}(H_1,H_2,f,t)-J^-_{\varepsilon_2}(H_1,H_2,f,t)\right|^2\\ &\quad=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}}\int_{\mathbb{D}^2_{0,t}}E[f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})\\ &\qquad\qquad\cdot(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon_1}-B^{H_1}_r)(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon_1}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]dr'ds'drds\\ &\qquad-\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1^{2H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}\int_{\mathbb{D}^2_{0,t}}E[f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})\\ &\qquad\qquad\cdot(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon_1}-B^{H_1}_r)(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon_2}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]dr'ds'drds\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_2^{4H_1}}\int_{\mathbb{D}^2_{0,t}}E[f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})\\ &\qquad\qquad\cdot(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon_2}-B^{H_1}_r)(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon_2}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]dr'ds'drds\\ &\quad=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}\int_{\mathbb{D}^2_{0,t}}\{\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}\Phi_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_1}-\varepsilon_1^{2H_1}\Phi_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}\}dr'ds'drds\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{2H_1}\varepsilon_2^{4H_1}}\int_{\mathbb{D}^2_{0,t}}\{\varepsilon_1^{2H_1}\Phi_{\varepsilon_2,\varepsilon_2}-\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}\Phi_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}\}dr'ds'drds\end{aligned}$$

with $\Phi_{\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_j}:=\Phi_{\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_j}(r,s,r',s';H_1,H_2)$. Now, in order to end the proof we need to introduce the following convergence:

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_i^{4H_1}\varepsilon_j^{2H_1}}\int_{\mathbb{D}^2_{0,t}}\{\varepsilon_j^{2H_1}\Phi_{\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_i}-\varepsilon_i^{2H_1}\Phi_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}\}dr'ds'drds\longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.17}$$

with $i,j\in\{1,2\}, i\neq j$, as $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\to 0$. By symmetry, we only need to show that this holds for $i=1,j=2$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{0,0}(r,r',\varepsilon,j) :&= \varepsilon_j^{2H_1}E\left[(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r)(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]\\
&\quad-\varepsilon^{2H_1}E\left[(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon_1}-B^{H_1}_r)(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon_2}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]\\
A_{0,2}(r,r',\varepsilon,j) :&= \varepsilon_j^{2H_1}E\left[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]E\left[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r)\right]\\
&\quad-\varepsilon^{2H_1}E\left[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon_2}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]E\left[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon_1}-B^{H_1}_r)\right]\\
A_{2,0}(r,r',\varepsilon,j) :&= \varepsilon_j^{2H_1}E\left[B^{H_1}_{r}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]E\left[B^{H_1}_{r}(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r)\right]\\
&\quad-\varepsilon^{2H_1}E\left[B^{H_1}_{r}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon_2}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]E\left[B^{H_1}_{r}(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon_1}-B^{H_1}_r)\right]\\
A_{1,1}(r,r',\varepsilon,j) :&= \varepsilon_j^{2H_1}E\left[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]E\left[B^{H_1}_{r}(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r)\right]\\
&\quad-\varepsilon^{2H_1}E\left[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon_2}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]E\left[B^{H_1}_{r}(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon_1}-B^{H_1}_r)\right]\\
&\quad+\varepsilon_j^{2H_1}E\left[B^{H_1}_{r}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]E\left[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r)\right]\\
&\quad-\varepsilon^{2H_1}E\left[B^{H_1}_{r}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon_2}-B^{H_1}_{r'})\right]E\left[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon_1}-B^{H_1}_r)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

with $j=1,2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_j^{2H_1}\Phi_{\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_i}-\varepsilon_i^{2H_1}\Phi_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2} &= A_{0,0}(r,r',\varepsilon_i,j)E\left[f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde B^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde B^{H_2}_{s'})\right]\\
&\quad+A_{0,2}(r,r',\varepsilon_i,j)E\left[f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde B^{H_2}_s)f''(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde B^{H_2}_{s'})\right]\\
&\quad+A_{2,0}(r,r',\varepsilon_i,j)E\left[f''(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde B^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde B^{H_2}_{s'})\right]\\
&\quad+A_{1,1}(r,r',\varepsilon_i,j)E\left[f'(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde B^{H_2}_s)f'(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde B^{H_2}_{s'})\right]
\end{aligned}$$

with $i,j\in\{1,2\}$ and $i\neq j$. Now, it is enough to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^2_{0,t}}\frac{A_{k,l}(r,r',\varepsilon_1,2)}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}E\left[f^{(k)}(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde B^{H_2}_s)f^{(l)}(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde B^{H_2}_{s'})\right]dr'ds'drds\longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.18}$$

for all $k,l\in\{0,1,2\}$ and $k+l\in\{0,2\}$, as $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\to 0$. Without loss of generality one may assume that $\varepsilon_1>\varepsilon_2$.

For $k=l=0$ and $0<|r-r'|<\varepsilon_2$ we have

$$|A_{0,0}(r,r',\varepsilon_1,2)|\le\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}\varepsilon_1^{2H_1}+\varepsilon_1^{3H_1}\varepsilon_2^{H_1}\le\frac{2\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}\varepsilon_1^{\gamma+2H_1}}{|r-r'|^\gamma}$$

by Cauchy's inequality with $2H_1<\gamma\le 1$. It follows from (6.2) with $\alpha=\frac{\gamma}{2-2H_1}$ that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}|A_{0,0}(r,r',\varepsilon_1,2)|\le C\left(\frac{1}{|r-r'-\varepsilon_1|^\gamma}+\frac{1}{|r-r'|^\gamma}\right)\varepsilon_1^{\gamma-2H_1}\longrightarrow 0$$

for all $s,r>0$ and $2H_1<\gamma\le 1$, as $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\to 0$. Consequently, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem deduces the convergence (4.18) with $k=l=0$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{D}^2_{0,t}}\left(\frac{1}{|r-r'-\varepsilon_1|^{2H_1}}+\frac{1}{|r-r'|^{2H_1}}\right)\\
&\qquad\cdot\left|E\left[f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde B^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde B^{H_2}_{s'})\right]\right|dr'ds'drds\le C\|f\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon_1>0$.

When $k+l=2$, by the fact

$$b^\alpha-a^\alpha\le b^{\alpha-\beta}(b-a)^\beta \tag{4.19}$$

with $b > a > 0$ and $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\varepsilon_2^{2H_1} E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})] - \varepsilon_1^{2H_1} E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]| \\
&\quad = \left| \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}\left((r+\varepsilon_1)^{2H_1} - \varepsilon_1^{2H_1} - r^{2H_1}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1^{2H_1}\left((r+\varepsilon_2)^{2H_1} - \varepsilon_2^{2H_1} - r^{2H_1}\right)\right| \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\left|\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}\left((r+\varepsilon_1)^{2H_1} - r^{2H_1}\right) - \varepsilon_1^{2H_1}\left((r+\varepsilon_2)^{2H_1} - r^{2H_1}\right)\right| \\
&\quad \le \frac{1}{2}\left((r+\varepsilon_1)^{2H_1-\beta}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}\varepsilon_1^{\beta} + (r+\varepsilon_2)^{2H_1-\beta}\varepsilon_1^{2H_1}\varepsilon_2^{\beta}\right) \le r^{2H_1-\beta}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}\varepsilon_1^{\beta}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}
$$

for all $2H_1 < \beta \le 1$ and $r > 0$. Similarly, by (4.19) we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E\left[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]| &= \frac{1}{2}\left|(r'+\varepsilon)^{2H_1} - (r')^{2H_1} + |r-r'|^{2H_1} - |r-r'-\varepsilon|^{2H_1}\right| \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\left((r')^{2H_1-\beta} + |r-r'|^{2H_1-\beta}\right)\varepsilon^{\beta}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}
$$

for all $|r-r'| > 0, r, r' > 0$ and $2H_1 < \beta \le 1$. Combining these with Lemma 4.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}|A_{0,2}(r,r',\varepsilon_1,2)| &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}\left|E[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\right| \\
&\qquad \cdot \left|\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}E[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})] - \varepsilon_1^{2H_1}E[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]\right| \\
&\le r^{2H_1-\beta}\varepsilon_1^{\beta-2H_1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \to 0), \\
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}|A_{2,0}(r,r',\varepsilon_1,2)| &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}\left|E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\right| \\
&\qquad \cdot \left|\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})] - \varepsilon_1^{2H_1}E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]\right| \\
&\longrightarrow 0 \quad (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \to 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}|A_{1,1}(r,r',\varepsilon_1,2)| &\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}\left|E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\right| \\
&\qquad \cdot \left|\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}E[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})] - \varepsilon_1^{2H_1}E[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]\right| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}\left|E[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\right| \\
&\qquad \cdot \left|\varepsilon_1^{2H_1}E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_1}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})] - \varepsilon_1^{2H_1}E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon_2}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]\right| \\
&\longrightarrow 0 \quad (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \to 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r, r' > 0$, which deduce the convergence (4.18) for $k + l = 2$ by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem because

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^{4H_1}\varepsilon_2^{2H_1}}|A_{k,l}(r,r',\varepsilon_1,2)| \le 2
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}_{0,t}^2}\left|E[f^{(k)}(B_s^{H_1})f^{(l)}(B_r^{H_1})]\right| dr'ds'drds \le C\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^2
$$

for $k + l = 2$ by Lemma 4.2, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1.

Consequently, the convergence (4.17) holds for $i = 1, j = 2$ and (4.9) follows. Similarly one can prove (4.10). Thus, we have established the second statement and Theorem 4.1 follows. □

At the end of this section, we obtain the Bouleau-Yor type identity (4.1).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $0 < H_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and let $f, f_1, f_2, \ldots \in \mathscr{H}$. If $f_n \to f$ in $\mathscr{H}$, as n tends to infinity, then we have*

$$[f_n(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} \longrightarrow [f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)}$$

in L^2 as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. The convergence follows from

$$E\left|[f_n(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} - [f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)}\right|^2 \leq C_{H_1,H_2,T}\|f_n - f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^2 \to 0,$$

as n tends to infinity. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $0 < H_1 < \frac{1}{2}$. For any $f_\triangle = \sum_j f_j 1_{(a_{j-1},a_j]} \in \mathscr{E}$, we define*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\triangle(a)\ell_t'(a)da := \sum_j f_j \left[\ell_t(a_j) - \ell_t(a_{j-1})\right].$$

Then the integral is well-defined and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\triangle(a)\ell_t'(a)da = -[f_\triangle(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} \tag{4.22}$$

almost surely, for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. For the function $f_\triangle(u) = 1_{(x,y]}(u)$ we define the sequence of smooth functions f_n, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ by

$$f_n(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\triangle(u - v)\zeta_n(v)dv = \int_x^y \zeta_n(u - v)dv \tag{4.23}$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\zeta_n, n \geq 1$ are the so-called mollifiers given by

$$\zeta_n(u) := n\zeta(nu), \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{4.24}$$

and

$$\zeta(u) := \begin{cases} ce^{\frac{1}{u^2-1}}, & -1 < u < 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4.25}$$

with a normalizing constant c such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(u)du = 1$. Then $\{f_n\} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathscr{H}$ and f_n converges to f in $\mathscr{H}$, as n tends to infinity. It follows from the occupation formula (3.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
[f_n(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} &= \int_0^t s^{2H_2-1}ds \int_0^s f_n'(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})dr \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(a)\ell_t'(a)da = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_x^y \zeta_n(a - u)du\right)\ell_t'(a)da \\
&= -\int_x^y du \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_n(a - u)\ell_t'(a)da = \int_x^y du \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_n'(a - u)\ell_t(a)da \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ell_t(a)da \int_x^y \zeta_n'(a - u)du = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \ell_t(a)\left(\zeta_n(a - y) - \zeta_n(a - x)\right)da \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \ell_t(a)\zeta_n(a - y)da + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ell_t(a)\zeta_n(a - x)da \\
&\longrightarrow \ell_t(x) - \ell_t(y)
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely, as $n \to \infty$, by the continuity of $a \mapsto \ell_t(a)$. On the other hand, Lemma 4.3 implies that there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ such that

$$[f_{n_k}(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} \longrightarrow [f_\triangle(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$, almost surely, as $k \to \infty$, which deduces

$$[f_\triangle(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = \ell_t(x) - \ell_t(y)$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$, almost surely. Thus, the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\triangle(a)\ell_t'(a)da = -[f_\triangle(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)}$$

holds and the lemma follows from the linearity property. □

Thanks to the above lemma we can show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\triangle,n}(a)\ell_t'(a)da = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\triangle,n}(a)\ell_t'(a)da = -[f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} \tag{4.26}$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$ if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{\triangle,n}(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} g_{\triangle,n}(a) = f(a)$$

in $\mathscr{H}$, where $\{f_{\triangle,n}\}, \{g_{\triangle,n}\} \subset \mathscr{E}$. Thus, by the density of $\mathscr{E}$ in $\mathscr{H}$ we can define

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t'(a)da := \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\triangle,n}(a)\ell_t'(a)da$$

for any $f \in \mathscr{H}$, where $\{f_{\triangle,n}\} \subset \mathscr{E}$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{\triangle,n}(a) = f(a)$$

in $\mathscr{H}$. Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 < H_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and $f \in \mathscr{H}$. The integral*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t'(a)da$$

is well-defined for all $t \in [0,T]$, and the Bouleau-Yor type identity

$$[f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t'(a)da \tag{4.27}$$

holds for all $t \in [0,T]$.

5. The hybrid quadratic covariation, case $H_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$

In this section we consider the HQC with $H_2 \geq H_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and obtain a similar Bouleau-Yor type identity. It is important to note that the method used in Section 4 is inefficacy for $H_1 > \frac{1}{2}$. Essentially, for $H_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$E\left[(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})\right] \sim \varepsilon^2 \neq o\left(\varepsilon^{4H_1}\right) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0)$$

for $r > r' + \varepsilon$ and the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}}\int_0^t ds\int_0^s f(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\left(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1}\right)dr \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}}\int_0^t ds\int_0^s f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\left(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1}\right)dr \\ &\equiv J_\varepsilon^+(H_1,H_2,t,f) - J_\varepsilon^-(H_1,H_2,t,f) \end{aligned}$$

does not bring any information because

$$EJ_\varepsilon^\pm(H_1,H_2,t,f) \longrightarrow \infty \qquad (\varepsilon \to 0)$$

for $H_1 > \frac{1}{2}$, in general. For example, for $f(x) = x$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}} \int_0^t ds \int_0^s E\left[(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})\right] dr \\ = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2H_1}} \int_0^t ds \int_0^s E\left[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})\right] dr \\ \longrightarrow \infty,\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Thus, we must estimate $E|J_\varepsilon(H_1, H_2, t, f)|^2$ integrally when $H_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ in order to study the existence of the HQC $[f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]^{(HC)}$, and moreover, we shall also use the Young integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t(da).$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $H_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$.*

- *If $\frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} > 3$, then for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^{(\nu)}$ with $\nu > 0$, the Young integral*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t(da) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t'(a)da$$

is well-defined for all $t \geq 0$, and moreover, if $f, f_1, f_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{C}^\nu$ and $f_n \to f$ in $\mathcal{C}^\nu$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(a)\ell_t(da) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t(da) \tag{5.1}$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$.

- *If $\frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} \leq 3$, then for $f \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma$ with $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}\left(3 - \frac{1}{H_1} - \frac{1}{H_2}\right)$, the Young integral*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(a)\ell_t(da)$$

is well-defined for all $t \geq 0$, and moreover, if $f, f_1, f_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma$ and $f_n \to f$ in $\mathcal{C}^\gamma$, then the convergence (5.1) *holds in $L^2(\Omega)$.*

The above lemma follows from the Hölder continuity of $a \mapsto \ell_t(a)$. For more aspects on Young integration we refer to Dudley-Norvaiša [7] and Young [38]. Denote

$$\Upsilon_\varepsilon(H_1, H_2) := E\left[\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_{r'}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $s, r, s', r' > 0$ and Borel functions $f \in \mathcal{C}^\nu$ with $\nu > 0$, where

$$\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) = f(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) - f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}).$$

Then we have

$$E|J_\varepsilon(H_1, H_2, t, f)|^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{4H_1}} \int_0^t \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^{s'} \Upsilon_\varepsilon(H_1, H_2) dr' dr ds' ds$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t \in [0, T]$. By approximating we can assume that f is an infinitely differentiable function with compact support. It follows from the duality relationship (2.1) and the fact

$$\begin{aligned} D_u^{H_1}\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) &= 1_{[0,r+\varepsilon]}(u) f'(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) - 1_{[0,r]}(u) f'(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) \\ &= 1_{[r,r+\varepsilon]}(u) f'(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) + 1_{[0,r]}(u)\left\{f'(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) - f'(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\right\} \\ &= 1_{[r,r+\varepsilon]}(u) f'(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) + 1_{[0,r]}(u)\Delta_\varepsilon f'(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2) &= E\left[\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\int_{r'}^{r'+\varepsilon} dB_l^{H_1}\right]\\
&= E\left[(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[f'(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[\Delta_\varepsilon f'(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})^2\right]E\left[\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})f'(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f'(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})\right]\\
&\quad + E\left[(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})\right]E\left[\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})\right]\\
&\equiv \sum_{j=1}^5 \Psi_\varepsilon(j;H_1,H_2)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $s>r>0, s'>r'>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$. To prove the existence of the HQC, we need to estimate

$$\Gamma_j := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{4H_1}}\int_0^t\int_0^t dsds'\int_0^s\int_0^{s'}|\Psi_\varepsilon(j;H_1,H_2)|dr'dr,\quad j=1,2,3,4,5.$$

The next lemma is proved in Appendix 6.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\frac12<H<1$.*

(1) *For all $t>s>r>0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|E\left[B_t^H(B_t^H-B_s^H)\right]\right|\le C_H t^{2H-1}(t-s),\\
&\left|E\left[B_t^H(B_s^H-B_r^H)\right]\right|\le C_H t^{2H-1}(s-r),\\
&\left|E\left[B_r^H(B_t^H-B_s^H)\right]\right|\le C_H r^{2H-1}(t-s),\\
&\left|E\left[B_s^H(B_t^H-B_r^H)\right]\right|\le C_H s^{2H-1}(t-r).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

(2) *For all $0<s'<t'<s<t$ we have*

$$\left|E\left[(B_t^H-B_s^H)(B_{t'}^H-B_{s'}^H)\right]\right|\le C_H\frac{(t-s)(t'-s')}{(s-t')^{2-2H}}.\tag{5.4}$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\nu>\frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$ and $f\in\mathcal{C}^\nu(\mathbb{R})$. For all $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $t\in[0,T]$, we have*

$$\Gamma_5\le C\|f\|_{(\nu)}^2.$$

Proof. For $j=5$, by (5.4) we have

$$|E[(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})]|\le C\varepsilon^2(r-r'-\varepsilon)^{2H_1-2}$$

for $r>r'+\varepsilon$, and

$$|E[(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1})(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1})]|\le \varepsilon^{2H_1}\le\varepsilon^2(r-r')^{2H_1-2}$$

for $r'<r<r'+\varepsilon$. Combining these with

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E[\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1}-\tilde B_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_{r'}^{H_1}-\tilde B_{s'}^{H_2})]|\\
&\qquad\le C\|f\|_{(\nu)}^2E[|B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_r^{H_1}|^\nu|B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}-B_{r'}^{H_1}|^\nu]|\le C\|f\|_{(\nu)}^2\varepsilon^{2\nu H_1},
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_5 &\le C\frac{\varepsilon^{2+2\nu H_1}}{\varepsilon^{4H_1}}\|f\|_{(\nu)}^2\int_0^t\int_0^t\int_0^s\int_0^{s'}\Big[(r-r'-\varepsilon)^{2H_1-2}1_{\{r>r'+\varepsilon\}}\\
&\qquad\qquad + (r-r')^{2H_1-2}1_{\{r\le r'+\varepsilon\}}\Big]dr'drds'ds\\
&\le C\|f\|_{(\nu)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \geq \frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$. □

Recall that the notations $\lambda_{r,s}, \mu_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}$ and $\rho^2_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}$ given in Section 3. Then the next lemma holds which will be proved in Appendix 6.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $H_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ and let $s > r > 0$, $s' > r' > 0$, $s > s'$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote*

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon(r, r') := |r - r'| + |r + \varepsilon - r'|.$$

Then we have

$$|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}| \leq C\varepsilon \left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee s^{2H_2-1}\right\} (r'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1}(s'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2}, \tag{5.5}$$

$$|\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}} - \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}| \leq C\varepsilon \left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee s^{2H_2-1}\right\} (r'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1}(s'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2}, \tag{5.6}$$

$$|\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}} - \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}| \leq C\varepsilon \left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee s^{2H_2-1}\right\} (r'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1}(s'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2}, \tag{5.7}$$

$$|\frac{\lambda_{r',s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}} - \frac{\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}| \leq C\varepsilon (r'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1}(s'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2} \tag{5.8}$$

for all α_1 and α_2 satisfying

$$0 < \alpha_1 < 1 - H_1, \quad 0 < \alpha_2 < 1 - H_2. \tag{5.9}$$

In order to estimate Γ_j with $j \geq 2$ we need some preliminaries. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{r,r'}(i,j) &:= E[f^{(i)}(B^{H_1}_r - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)f^{(j)}(B^{H_1}_{r'} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})],\\
\Theta_{r,r'}(i,\Delta j) &:= E[f^{(i)}(B^{H_1}_r - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)\Delta_\varepsilon f^{(j)}(B^{H_1}_{r'} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})],\\
\Theta_{r,r'}(\Delta i,\Delta j) &:= E[\Delta f^{(i)}(B^{H_1}_r - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)\Delta_\varepsilon f^{(j)}(B^{H_1}_{r'} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})]
\end{aligned}$$

with $i, j \in \{0,1,2\}$. Let $\varphi_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(x,y)$ be the density function of $(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_s, B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon_2} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})$ with $s > r > 0, s' > r' > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$. That is

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\rho^2_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}}\left(\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon_2,s'}x^2 - 2\mu_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}xy + \lambda_{r+\varepsilon_1,s}y^2\right)\right\}.$$

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0, s > r > 0$, $s' > r' > 0$, $s > s'$ and $f \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}^\nu$ with $0 < \nu \leq 1$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\Theta_{r_1,r_2}(i,j)| \leq C\|f\|^2_{(\nu)}(r \wedge r')^{-H_1}(s')^{-H_2}|r-r'|^{-H_1}|s-s'|^{-H_2},\\
&|\Theta_{r,r'}(i,\Delta j)| \leq C\varepsilon^\nu\|f\|_{(\nu)}\left(rr'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r')\right)^{-\alpha_1-H_1}\left(ss'|s-s'|\right)^{-\alpha_2-H_2},\\
&|\Theta_{r,r'}(\Delta i,\Delta j)| \leq C\varepsilon^\nu\|f\|_{(\nu)}\left(rr'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r')\right)^{-\alpha_1-H_1}\left(ss'|s-s'|\right)^{-\alpha_2-H_2}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \alpha_1 < 1 - H_1$ and $0 < \alpha_2 < 1 - H_2$, where $i, j \in \{0,1,2\}$ with $i + j = 2$ and $r_1, r_2 \in \{r+\varepsilon, r'+\varepsilon, r, r'\}$ with $r_1 \neq r_2$.

Proof. Let $0 < r < s < t$, $0 < r' < s' < t$ $s > s'$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We only estimate $\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(1,1)$, $\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(2,\Delta 0)$, $\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(1,\Delta 1)$ and similarly one can estimate the others. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(1,1)| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)f(y)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial y}\varphi_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(x,y)dxdy\right|\\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|f(x)f(y)|\left|\frac{1}{\rho^4_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}(\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}x - y\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon})(\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}y - \mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}x) + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right|\varphi_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(x,y)dxdy\\
&\leq \|f\|^2_{(\nu)}\left(\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} + \sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}\right)\frac{1}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\\
&\leq C\|f\|^2_{(\nu)}(r \wedge r')^{-H_1}(s')^{-H_2}|r-r'|^{-H_1}|s-s'|^{-H_2}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1. In order to estimate $\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(2,\Delta 0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E[f''(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f''(x)f(y)\varphi_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(x,y)dxdy\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)f(y)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\varphi_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(x,y)dxdy\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)f(y)\left\{\frac{1}{\rho^4_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}(\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}x-y\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon})^2-\frac{\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right\}\varphi_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(x,y)dxdy\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}u\right)f\left(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u\right)\\
&\quad\cdot\left(\frac{u^2}{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}-2\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}uv+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}v^2-\frac{\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right)\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)}dudv
\end{aligned}$$

by making substitutions in the second identity

$$x=\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}u,\quad y=\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u+\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(2,\Delta 0) = E[f''(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})]-E[f''(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)f(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})]\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}u)\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)}dudv\\
&\quad\cdot\left\{f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)\left(\frac{u^2}{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}-\frac{2\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}uv+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}v^2-\frac{\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right)\right.\\
&\quad\left.-f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)\left(\frac{u^2}{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}-\frac{2\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}uv+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}v^2-\frac{\lambda_{r',s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}}\right)\right\}\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}u)\left(f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)-f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)\right)\\
&\qquad\cdot\left(\frac{u^2}{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}-\frac{2\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}uv+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}v^2-\frac{\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right)\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)}dudv\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}u)f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)}\\
&\qquad\cdot\left\{\frac{2uv}{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}\left(\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}}-\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right)+\frac{v^2}{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}\left(\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}-\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}}\right)+\frac{\lambda_{r',s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}}-\frac{\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right\}dudv\\
&\equiv \Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(2,\Delta 0,1)+\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(2,\Delta 0,2).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.4 we have

$$\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(2,\Delta 0,2)\le C\varepsilon^{\nu}\|f\|_{(\nu)}(rr'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1-H_1}(ss'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2-H_2}$$

for all $\beta\in(0,1)$. On the other hand, from (5.5) and the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mu_{\varepsilon,0}-\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}| &= |E[(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})]-E[(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})]|\\
&= |E[(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]|\\
&= |E[B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]|\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left|(r'+\varepsilon)^{2H_1}-(r')^{2H_1}+|r-r'+\varepsilon|^{2H_1}-|r-r'|^{2H_1}\right|\\
&\le C(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1}\varepsilon, \qquad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

we find that there are α_1, α_2 satisfying

$$0 < \alpha_1 < 1 - H_1, \quad 0 < \alpha_2 < 1 - H_2,$$

such that

$$\frac{|\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \mu_{\varepsilon,0}|}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}} \le C\varepsilon(r+\varepsilon)^{-\alpha_1} s^{-\alpha_2} < C\varepsilon r^{-\alpha_1} s^{-\alpha_2}$$

and

$$\frac{|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}|}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}} \le C\varepsilon(rr'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1}(ss'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2}$$

for all $0 < \alpha_i < 1 - H_i$, which imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u) - f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)| \\
&\qquad \le C\|f\|_{(\nu)} \left(\frac{|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}|^\nu}{\sqrt{(\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s})^\nu}}|v|^\nu + \frac{|\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \mu_{\varepsilon,0}|^\nu}{\sqrt{(\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s})^\nu}}|u|^\nu \right) \\
&\qquad \le C\varepsilon^\nu \|f\|_{(\nu)} (rr'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\nu\alpha_1}(ss'|s-s'|)^{-\nu\alpha_2}(|u|+|v|).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(u,v) :&= |\frac{u^2}{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}} - \frac{2\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}uv + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}v^2 - \frac{\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}| \\
&\le Cr^{-H_1}s^{-H_2}(r')^{-H_1}(s')^{-H_2}|r-r'|^{-H_1}|s-s'|^{-H_2}\left(u^2 + 2|uv| + v^2 + 1\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(2,\Delta 0,1)| &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dudv |f(\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}u)||Y(u,v)|\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)} \\
&\quad \cdot |f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u) - f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)| \\
&\le C\varepsilon^\nu \|f\|_{(\nu)}(rr'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\nu\alpha_1 - H_1}(ss'|s-s'|)^{-\nu\alpha_2 - H_2} \\
&\le C\varepsilon^\nu \|f\|_{(\nu)}(rr'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1 - H_1}(ss'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2 - H_2}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \alpha_i < 1 - H_i$ since $\nu \in (0,1]$.

Finally, let us estimate $\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(1,\Delta 1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(1,\Delta 1) = \Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(1,1) - \Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'}(1,1) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}u)\left\{ f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)\left(uv + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}(1-v^2)\right)\right. \\
&\quad \left. - f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)\left(uv + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}}(1-v^2)\right)\right\}\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)}dudv \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}u)\left(f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u) - f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)\right) \\
&\qquad \cdot \left(uv + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}(1-v^2)\right)\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)}dudv \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}} - \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}u) f(\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}v + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{r+\varepsilon,s}}}u)(1-v^2)\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)}dudv
\end{aligned}$$

for all $s > r > 0, s' > r' > 0$ and $\varepsilon \ge 0$. Thus, the estimate of $\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(1,\Delta 1)$ follows from Lemma 5.4 and the estimate of $\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(2,\Delta 0)$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\frac{2H_1-1}{H_1} < \nu \le 1$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}^\nu$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t \in [0,T]$, we have*

$$|\Gamma_1| \le C\|f\|_{(\nu)}^2.$$

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t \in [0,T]$. Denote

$$h(s,r,s',r') := E[(B_s^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})(B_{s'}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]$$

for all $s > r > 0$ and $s' > r' > 0$. By the duality relationship (2.1) and (5.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_\varepsilon(1;H_1,H_2)\\
&\quad = h(r+\varepsilon,r,r'+\varepsilon,r')E[f'(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_{r'}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\\
&\quad = h(r+\varepsilon,r,r'+\varepsilon,r')h(r+\varepsilon,0,r+\varepsilon,r)E[f''(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_{r'}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})]\\
&\qquad + (h(r+\varepsilon,r,r'+\varepsilon,r'))^2 E[f'(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f'(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})]\\
&\qquad + h(r+\varepsilon,r,r'+\varepsilon,r')h(r',0,r+\varepsilon,r)E[f'(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f'(B_{r'}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})]\\
&\quad = h(r+\varepsilon,r,r'+\varepsilon,r')h(r+\varepsilon,0,r+\varepsilon,r)\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'}(2,\Delta 0)\\
&\qquad + (h(r+\varepsilon,r,r'+\varepsilon,r'))^2\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(1,1)\\
&\qquad + h(r+\varepsilon,r,r'+\varepsilon,r')h(r',0,r+\varepsilon,r)\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'}(1,\Delta 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.5 and the fact

$$|h(s,r,s',r')| = |E[(B_s^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})(B_{s'}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]| \le |s-r|^{H_1}|s'-r'|^{H_1},$$

we get

$$\Gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{4H_1}}\int_0^t\int_0^t dsds'\int_0^s\int_0^{s'} |\Psi_\varepsilon(1;H_1,H_2)|dr'dr < \infty$$

if $\nu \ge 2H_1 - 1$. □

In the same way as Lemma 5.6 one can show that the estimates

$$|\Gamma_i| \le C\|f\|_{(\nu)}^2, \quad i = 2,3,4 \tag{5.11}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $t \in [0,T]$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}^\nu$ with $\nu > 2H_1 - 1$. In fact, by the duality relationship (2.1) and (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_\varepsilon(2;H_1,H_2) &= E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]E[\Delta_\varepsilon f'(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_{r'}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\\
&= E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]E[(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})^2]\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'}(2,\Delta 0)\\
&\quad + E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\Theta_{r,r'}(\Delta 2,\Delta 0)\\
&\quad + E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\Theta_{r'+\varepsilon,r}(1,\Delta 1)\\
&\quad + E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})]E[B_{r'}^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\Theta_{r,r'}(\Delta 1,\Delta 1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_\varepsilon(3;H_1,H_2) &= E[(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_{r'}^{H_1})^2]E[\Delta_\varepsilon f(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})f'(B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_{s'}^{H_2})(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\\
&= \varepsilon^{4H_1}\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'+\varepsilon}(1,1) + \varepsilon^{2H_1}E[B_r^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\Theta_{r'+\varepsilon,r}(1,\Delta 1)\\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{2H_1}E[B_{r'+\varepsilon}^{H_1}(B_{r+\varepsilon}^{H_1} - B_r^{H_1})]\Theta_{r'+\varepsilon,r}(2,\Delta 0)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_\varepsilon(4;H_1,H_2) &= E[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]E[\Delta_\varepsilon f(B^{H_1}_r-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_s)\Delta_\varepsilon f'(B^{H_1}_{r'}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}_{s'})(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r)]\\ &= \varepsilon^{2H_1}E[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]\Theta_{r+\varepsilon,r'}(1,\Delta 1)\\ &\quad + E[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]E[B^{H_1}_r(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r)]\Theta_{r,r'}(\Delta 1,\Delta 1)\\ &\quad + h(r+\varepsilon,r,r'+\varepsilon,r')E[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]\Theta_{r'+\varepsilon,r}(2,\Delta 0)\\ &\quad + E[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r'+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_{r'})]E[B^{H_1}_{r'}(B^{H_1}_{r+\varepsilon}-B^{H_1}_r)]\Theta_{r,r'}(\Delta 0,\Delta 2)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $s,r,s',r'>0$. Thus, the estimates (5.11) follows from Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.5, and we get the next desired result.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\cap\mathcal{C}^\nu$ with $\nu>\frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$. Then,*

$$E\left|J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f)\right|^2\le C\|f\|^2_{(\nu)}$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $t\in[0,T]$.

Now, we can obtain our main object of this section.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f\in\mathcal{C}^\nu$ with $\nu\ge\frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$ and $\frac{1}{H_1}+\frac{1}{H_2}>3$. Then, the HQC $[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]^{(HC)}$ exists, the Bouleau-Yor type identity*

$$[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]^{(HC)}_t=-\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x)\ell'_t(x)dx \tag{5.12}$$

and the estimate

$$E\left|[f(B^{H_1}-\tilde{B}^{H_2}),B^{H_1}]^{(HC)}_t\right|^2\le C\|f\|^2_{(\nu)} \tag{5.13}$$

hold for all $t\in[0,T]$.

Proof. Given $f\in\mathcal{C}^\nu$. Define the sequence of smooth functions

$$f_n(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x-y)\zeta_n(y)dy=\int_0^2 f(x-\frac{y}{n})\zeta(y)dy,\qquad n=1,2,\dots \tag{5.14}$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$, where the mollifiers $\zeta_n,n=1,2,\dots$ are given by (4.24). Then $\{f_n\}\subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\cap\mathcal{C}^\nu$, f_n converges to f in $\mathcal{C}^\nu$ and

$$J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f_n)\longrightarrow-\int_{\mathbb{R}}f_n(x)\ell'_t(x)dx$$

in L^2 by Corollary 4.1, as ε tends to 0, for all $n\ge1$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}E\left|J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f)+\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x)\ell'_t(x)dx\right|^2 &\le 3E\left|J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f)-J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f_n)\right|^2\\ &+3E\left|J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f_n)+\int_{\mathbb{R}}f_n(x)\ell'_t(x)dx\right|^2+3E\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}f_n(x)\ell'_t(x)dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x)\ell'_t(x)dx\right|^2\\ &\le 3C\|f-f_n\|^2_{(\nu)}+3E\left|J_\varepsilon(H_1,H_2,t,f_n)+\int_{\mathbb{R}}f_n(x)\ell'_t(x)dx\right|^2\\ &\quad+3E\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}f_n(x)\ell'_t(x)dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x)\ell'_t(x)dx\right|^2\end{aligned}$$

for all n, $\varepsilon>0$ and $t\in[0,T]$. Thus, the theorem follows from Lemma 5.1. □

It is possible to extend formula (5.12) to any Hölder functions f of order $\nu > \frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$ by means of a localization argument. In fact, for any $k \geq 0$ and Hölder functions f of order $\nu > \frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$ we may consider the set

$$\Omega_k = \left\{ \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |B_t^H| < k \right\}$$

and let f_k be a Hölder function such that

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} f(-k), & \text{if } x < -k, \\ f(x), & \text{if } -k \leq x \leq k, \\ f(k), & \text{if } x > k. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_k \in \mathcal{C}^\nu$ with $\nu > \frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$ for every $k \geq 0$. By the above theorem we know that

$$[f_k(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]_t^{(HC)} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k(x)\ell_t'(x)dx$$

on the set Ω_k. Letting k tend to infinity we get the desired formula (5.12) for any Hölder function of order $\nu > \frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$.

Finally, when $\frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} \leq 3$ we can define

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\ell_t(dx) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)\ell_t(x)dx$$

for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}^\nu$ with $\nu > \frac{1}{2}\left(3 - \frac{1}{H_1} - \frac{1}{H_2}\right)$. It follows from Corollary 4.1, the occupation formula (3.19) and Lemma 5.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} [f(B^{H_1} - \tilde{B}^{H_2}), B^{H_1}]^{(HC)} &= \int_0^t \int_0^s f'(B_r^{H_1} - \tilde{B}_s^{H_2})drds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)\ell_t(x)dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\ell_t(dx) \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}^\nu$ with $\nu > \frac{1}{2}\left(3 - \frac{1}{H_1} - \frac{1}{H_2}\right)$. Thus, by smooth approximating and the localization argument above we get the next result since $\frac{2H_1-1}{H_1} > \frac{1}{2}\left(3 - \frac{1}{H_1} - \frac{1}{H_2}\right)$.

Theorem 5.2. *If f is a Hölder function of order $\nu > \frac{2H_1-1}{H_1}$, then the HQC exists and the Bouleau-Yor type identity* (5.12) *holds for all $t \in [0,T]$.*

Corollary 5.1. *Let B and $\tilde{B}$ be two independent Brownian motions and let f be a Hölder function of order $\nu \in (0,1]$. Then, the HQC $[f(B-\tilde{B}), B]^{(HC)}$ and the Young integral*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\ell_t(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\ell_t'(x)dx$$

exist, and the Bouleau-Yor type identity

$$[f(B-\tilde{B}), B]_t^{(HC)} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\ell_t'(x)dx,, \tag{5.15}$$

holds for all $t \in [0,T]$, where $\ell_t'(x)$ is the DILT of B and $\tilde{B}$.

6. Appendix: Proofs of some basic estimates

In this appendix we give proofs of some lemmas.

Proof of Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 5.2. The inequalities (4.13) and (5.3) are some simple exercises. Let us obtain (4.14) and (5.4).

For $0 < s' < t' < s < t \leq T$ we define the function $x \mapsto G_{s,t}(x)$ on $[s', t']$ by

$$G_{s,t}(x) = (s-x)^{2H} - (t-x)^{2H}.$$

Thanks to mean value theorem, we see that there are $\xi \in (s', t')$ and $\eta \in (s, t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 2E\left[(B_t^H - B_s^H)(B_{t'}^H - B_{s'}^H)\right] &= G_{s,t}(t') - G_{s,t}(s') \\ &= 2H(t'-s')\left[(t-\xi)^{2H-1} - (s-\xi)^{2H-1}\right] \\ &= 2H(2H-1)(t'-s')(t-s)\left(\eta-\xi\right)^{2H-2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$|E\left[(B_t^H - B_s^H)(B_{t'}^H - B_{s'}^H)\right]| \leq 2H|2H-1|\frac{(t'-s')(t-s)}{(s-t')^{2-2H}}, \tag{6.1}$$

which gives (5.4). In order to prove (4.14), noting that

$$\frac{|E\left[(B_t^H - B_s^H)(B_{t'}^H - B_{s'}^H)\right]|}{(t-s)^H(t'-s')^H} \leq 1,$$

we see that

$$\frac{|E[(B_t^H - B_s^H)(B_{t'}^H - B_{s'}^H)]|}{(t-s)^H(t'-s')^H} \leq \left(\frac{|E\left[(B_t^H - B_s^H)(B_{t'}^H - B_{s'}^H)\right]|}{(t-s)^H(t'-s')^H}\right)^\alpha$$

for all $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Combining this with (6.1) we get

$$|E[(B_t^H - B_s^H)(B_{t'}^H - B_{s'}^H)]| \leq \frac{(t-s)^{(1-\alpha)H+\alpha}(t'-s')^{(1-\alpha)H+\alpha}}{(s-t')^{\alpha(2-2H)}}. \tag{6.2}$$

Since $0 < H < \frac{1}{2}$ we can take $\alpha = H/(1-H)$ and (4.14) follows. □

Proof of Lemma 3.1. By symmetry we may assume that $s > s'$. For $0 < r' < r < s' < s$, taking $r' = xr$, $s' = ys$ and $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$, we have

$$\lambda_{r',s'} = r^{2H_1}x^{2H_1} + s^{2H_2}y^{2H_2}$$

and

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}r^{2H_1}\left(1 + x^{2H_1} - (1-x)^{2H_1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}s^{2H_2}\left(1 + y^{2H_2} - (1-y)^{2H_2}\right).$$

Define the functions :

$$\begin{aligned} f_H(x) &:= 4x^{2H} - \left(1 + x^{2H} - (1-x)^{2H}\right)^2, \\ g(x,y) &:= 4\left(x^{2H_1} + y^{2H_2}\right) - 2\left(1 + x^{2H_1} - (1-x)^{2H_1}\right)\left(1 + y^{2H_2} - (1-y)^{2H_2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with $x \in [0,1]$ and $0 < H, H_1, H_2 < 1$. Then

$$\lambda_{r,s}\lambda_{r',s'} - \mu^2 = \frac{1}{4}\left\{r^{4H_1}f_{H_1}(x) + r^{2H_1}s^{2H_2}g(x,y) + s^{4H_2}f_{H_2}(y)\right\}. \tag{6.3}$$

It follows from the next lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{r,s}\lambda_{r',s'} - \mu^2 &\asymp r^{4H_1}x^{2H_1}(1-x)^{2H_2} + s^{4H_2}y^{2H_2}(1-y)^{2H_1} \\ &\qquad + r^{2H_1}s^{2H_2}\left(x^{2H_1}(1-y)^{2H_2} + y^{2H_2}(1-x)^{2H_1}\right) \\ &\asymp \left(r^{2H_1}x^{2H_1} + s^{2H_2}y^{2H_2}\right)\left(r^{2H_1}(1-x)^{2H_1} + s^{2H_2}(1-y)^{2H_2}\right) \\ &\asymp \left((r')^{2H_1} + (s')^{2H_2}\right)\left((r-r')^{2H_1} + (s-s')^{2H_2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can estimate the $\rho = \lambda_{r,s}\lambda_{r',s'} - \mu^2$ for $0 < r < r' < s' < s$ and $0 < r' < s' < r < s$, and the lemma follows. □

Lemma 6.1. *Let the functions $f_H(x)$ and $g(x,y)$ be defined as above. We then have*

$$f_H(x) \asymp x^{2H}(1-x)^{2H} \tag{6.4}$$

$$g(x,y) \asymp x^{2H_1}(1-y)^{2H_2} + y^{2H_2}(1-x)^{2H_1} \tag{6.5}$$

for all $x, y \in [0,1]$.

The estimates (6.4) are given in Yan et al. [35] (see also Chen-Yan [5]), and moreover similar to Chen-Yan [5] we can obtain (6.5).

Now, let us prove the inequalities in Lemma 5.4. Let $0 < r < s < t$, $0 < r' < s' < t$, $s > s'$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof of (5.5). Let $r > r'$. Similar to proof of Lemma 3.1, setting $r' + \varepsilon = x(r+\varepsilon)$, $s' = ys$ and $r' = z(r+\varepsilon)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho^2_{\varepsilon,0} &= \frac{1}{4}(r+\varepsilon)^{4H_1}\left\{f_{H_1}(x) - f_{H_1}(z)\right\} + \frac{1}{4}(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1}s^{2H_2}\left\{g(x,y) - g(z,y)\right\}\\ &= \frac{1}{4}(r+\varepsilon)^{4H_1}(x-z)f'_{H_1}(\xi) + \frac{1}{4}(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1}s^{2H_2}(x-z)\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(\eta,y)\end{aligned}$$

for some $\xi, \eta \in (z,x)$ by the mean value theorem. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|f'_H(x)| &= 4H\left|2x^{2H-1} - (x^{2H-1} + (1-x)^{2H-1})(1 + x^{2H} - (1-x)^{2H})\right|\\ &= 4H\left|2x^{2H-1} - x^{2H-1}(1 + x^{2H} - (1-x)^{2H}) - (1-x)^{2H-1}\left(1 + x^{2H} - (1-x)^{2H}\right)\right|\\ &\le 4H\left|2x^{2H-1} - x^{2H-1}(1 + x^{2H} - (1-x)^{2H})\right|\\ &\qquad + 4H\left|(1-x)^{2H-1}\left(1 + x^{2H} - (1-x)^{2H}\right)\right|\\ &= 4Hx^{2H-1}\left(1 - x^{2H} + (1-x)^{2H}\right) + 4H(1-x)^{2H-1}\left(1 + x^{2H} - (1-x)^{2H}\right)\\ &\le C\left(x^{2H-1}(1-x) + (1-x)^{2H}x\right) \le Cx^{2H-1}(1-x)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in [0,1]$ and $H \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, and

$$\begin{aligned}|g'_x(x,y)| &= 4H|2x^{2H_1-1} - \left(x^{2H_1-1} + (1-x)^{2H_1-1}\right)\left(1 + y^{2H_2} - (1-y)^{2H_2}\right)|\\ &= 4H|2x^{2H_1-1} - x^{2H_1-1}\left(1 + y^{2H_2} - (1-y)^{2H_2}\right)\\ &\qquad - 4H_1(1-x)^{2H_1-1}\left(1 + y^{2H_2} - (1-y)^{2H_2}\right)|\\ &\le 4Hx^{2H_1-1}\left(1 - y^{2H_2} + (1-y)^{2H_2}\right)\\ &\qquad + 4H_1(1-x)^{2H_1-1}\left(1 + y^{2H_2} - (1-y)^{2H_2}\right)\\ &\le C\left(x^{2H_1-1}(1-y) + (1-x)^{2H_1-1}y\right)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in [0,1]$ and $H_1, H_2 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}| &\le (r+\varepsilon)^{4H_1-1}\varepsilon|f'_{H_1}(\xi)| + (r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1}s^{2H_2}\varepsilon|\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(\eta,y)|\\ &\le C\xi^{2H-1}(1-\xi)(r+\varepsilon)^{4H_1-1}\varepsilon\\ &\qquad + C(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1}s^{2H_2}\varepsilon\left(\eta^{2H_1-1}(1-y) + (1-\eta)^{2H_1-1}y\right)\\ &\le Cx^{2H-1}(1-z)(r+\varepsilon)^{4H_1-1}\varepsilon\\ &\qquad + C(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1}s^{2H_2}\varepsilon\left(x^{2H_1-1}(1-y) + (1-z)^{2H_1-1}y\right)\\ &\le C\varepsilon\left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee s^{2H_2-1}\right\}\left\{(r'+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee (s')^{2H_2-1}\right\}\\ &\qquad \cdot\left(|r+\varepsilon-r'| + |r+\varepsilon-r'|^{2H_1-1} + |s-s|\right)\\ &\le C\varepsilon\left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee s^{2H_2-1}\right\}\left\{(r'+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee (s')^{2H_2-1}\right\}\\ &\qquad \cdot\left(|r+\varepsilon-r'|^{2H_1-1} \vee |s-s|^{2H_2-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

for all $r > r'$ and $s > s'$. Thus, for all $r > r'$ and $s > s'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}| &= \frac{|\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}|}{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} + \rho_{\varepsilon,0}} \\
&\le \frac{C|\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}|}{\{(r'+\varepsilon)^{H_1} + (s')^{H_2}\}\,|s-s'|^{H_2} + (s')^{H_2}\,\{|r+\varepsilon-r'|^{H_1} + |s-s'|^{H_2}\}} \\
&\le \frac{C|\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}|}{(r'+\varepsilon)^{\alpha H_1}(s')^{(1-\alpha)H_2}|s-s'|^{H_2} + (s')^{H_2}|r+\varepsilon-r'|^{\beta H_1}|s-s'|^{(1-\beta)H_2}} \\
&\le \frac{C\varepsilon|\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}|}{(r'+\varepsilon)^{\gamma\alpha H_1}(s')^{(\gamma(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma))H_2}|r+\varepsilon-r'|^{(1-\gamma)\beta H_1}|s-s'|^{((1-\gamma)(1-\beta)+\gamma)H_2}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (0,1)$ by Young's inequality. Now, we can take some suitable $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}| \le \frac{C\varepsilon\left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee s^{2H_2-1}\right\}}{(r'+\varepsilon)^{\beta_1}(s')^{\beta_2}|r+\varepsilon-r'|^{\beta_3}|s-s'|^{\beta_4}}.$$

Moreover, we can also choose the regions of $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ as follows

$$0 < \beta_1, \beta_3 < 1 - H_1, \quad 0 < \beta_2, \beta_4 < 1 - H_2. \tag{6.6}$$

In fact, the above conditions can be gotten via comparing the size of $r'+\varepsilon$ and s', and $|r-r'+\varepsilon|$ and $|s-s'|$, respectively. For example, if $(r'+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} > (s')^{2H_2-1}$ and $|r-r'+\varepsilon|^{2H_1-1} > |s-s'|$ we then have

$$|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}| \le \frac{C\varepsilon\left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee s^{2H_2-1}\right\}}{(r'+\varepsilon)^{\beta_1}(s')^{\beta_2}|r+\varepsilon-r'|^{\beta_3}|s-s'|^{\beta_4}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta_1 := \gamma\alpha H_1 - 2H_1 + 1, \quad \beta_2 := (\gamma(1-\alpha) + (1-\gamma))H_2, \\
&\beta_3 := (1-\gamma)\beta H_1 - 2H_1 + 1, \quad \beta_4 := ((1-\gamma)(1-\beta) + \gamma)H_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\gamma\alpha H_1 - 2H_1 + 1 < 1 - H_1, \quad (1-\gamma)\beta H_1 - 2H_1 + 1 < 1 - H_1,$$

and we can choose $\frac{2H_2-1}{H_2} < \gamma\alpha < 1$ and $\frac{2H_1-1}{H_1} < \beta(1-\gamma) < 1$ such that (6.6) holds.

Thus, we have obtained (5.5) for $r > r'$ and $s > s'$ by taking $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 \vee \beta_3$ and $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 \vee \beta_4$. Similarly, we can obtain (5.5) for $r < r'$ and $s > s'$. □

Proof of (5.6). By (5.5) and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\,|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}| &\le C\frac{((r+\varepsilon)^{H_1} + s^{H_2})}{(r'+\varepsilon)^{H_1}(s')^{H_2}|r-r'|^{H_1}|s-s'|^{H_2}}\,|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}| \\
&\le C\varepsilon\left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{3H_1-1} \vee s^{3H_2-1}\right\}(r'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1-H_1}(s'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2-H_2}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < r < s < t$, $0 < r' < s' < t$, $0 < \alpha_1 < 1 - H_1$ and $0 < \alpha_2 < 1 - H_2$. It follows from (5.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}} - \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right| &= \frac{1}{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\,|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\mu_{\varepsilon,0} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}| \\
&\le \frac{1}{\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\,|\mu_{\varepsilon,0} - \mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}| + \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho_{\varepsilon,0}\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\,|\rho_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon,0}| \\
&\le C\varepsilon\left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1} \vee s^{2H_2-1}\right\}(r'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1-H_1}(s'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2-H_2}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < r < s < t$, $0 < r' < s' < t$, $0 < \alpha_1 < 1 - H_1$ and $0 < \alpha_2 < 1 - H_2$. □

Proof of (5.7) *and* (5.8). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}}-\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right| &= \frac{1}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\left|\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\mu_{\varepsilon,0}-\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\right| \\ &\le \frac{1}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\left|\mu_{\varepsilon,0}-\mu_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}\right|+\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,0}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\left|\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}-\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}\right| \\ &\le C\varepsilon\left\{(r+\varepsilon)^{2H_1-1}\vee s^{2H_2-1}\right\}(r'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1-H_1}(s'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2-H_2}\end{aligned}$$

for all $0<r<s<t$, $0<r'<s'<t$, $0<\alpha_1<1-H_1$ and $0<\alpha_2<1-H_2$. Similarly, for (5.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\lambda_{r',s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}}-\frac{\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\right| &\le \frac{1}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}}\left|\lambda_{r',s'}-\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}\right|+\frac{\lambda_{r'+\varepsilon,s'}}{\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\left|\rho^2_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}-\rho^2_{\varepsilon,0}\right| \\ &\le C\varepsilon(r'\Lambda_\varepsilon(r,r'))^{-\alpha_1-H_1}(s'|s-s'|)^{-\alpha_2-H_2}\end{aligned}$$

for all $0<r<s<t$, $0<r'<s'<t$, $0<\alpha_1<1-H_1$ and $0<\alpha_2<1-H_2$. □

Proof of Lemma 3.2. By making substitutions $u_j-u_{j+1}=r_j$, $j=1,2,\dots,n-1$ and $u_n=r_n$, and then using the estimate

$$\int_0^1 e^{-x^2u^{2H}}du \asymp \frac{1}{1+|x|^{1/H}},\quad x\in\mathbb{R},\ 0<H<1, \tag{6.7}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_1(0,t,n,\xi) :&= \int_{\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{0,t}(u)}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa(\sum_{k=1}^{j}\xi'_k)^2(u_j-u_{j+1})^{2H_1}}\cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\xi'_k)^2(u_n)^{2H_1}}du_1\dots du_n \\ &\le \prod_{j=1}^{n}\int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa(\sum_{k=1}^{j}\xi'_k)^2r_j^{2H_1}}dr_j \asymp \prod_{j=1}^{n}\big(1+|(\sum_{k=1}^{j}\xi'_k)|^{1/H_1}\big)^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

for all $t\in[0,T]$. On the other hand, some elementary calculus can show that the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^{t'}e^{-x^2u^{2H}}du &= \int_0^{t'-t}e^{-x^2(v+t)^{2H}}dv \le \int_0^{t'-t}e^{-x^2v^{2H}}dv \\ &= (t'-t)\int_0^1 e^{-x^2(t'-t)^{2H}v^{2H}}dv \asymp \frac{t'-t}{1+(t'-t)|x|^{1/H}}\end{aligned}$$

with $H\in(0,1)$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}$. By making substitutions $v_j-v_{j+1}=s_j$, $j=1,2,\dots,n-1$ and $v_n=s_n$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_2(t,t',n,\xi) &:= \int_{\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{t,t'}(v)}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}e^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}(\sum_{k=1}^{j}\xi''_k)^2(v_j-v_{j+1})^{2H_2}}\cdot e^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\xi''_k)^2(v_n)^{2H_2}}dv_j \\ &\le \prod_{j=1}^{n}\int_t^{t'}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa(\sum_{k=1}^{j}\xi''_k)^2s_j^{2H_2}}ds_j \\ &\le C(t'-t)^n\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Big(1+(t'-t)|\sum_{k=1}^{j}\xi''_k|^{1/H_2}\Big)^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

for all $0 < H_2 < 1$. Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Lambda}(t,t',n,\gamma) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Lambda_1(0,t,n,\xi)\Lambda_2(t,t',n,\xi)\prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{1+\gamma} d\xi_j \\ &\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\Lambda_1(0,t,n,\xi)]^p \prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{p(1+\gamma)\alpha} d\xi_j\right)^{1/p} \\ &\qquad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\Lambda_2(t,t',n,\xi)]^q \prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{q(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} d\xi_j\right)^{1/q} \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $p, q > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Finally, making substitutions $\sum_{k=1}^{j} \xi_k' = x_j,\ j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j| = \prod_{j=1}^n |x_j - x_{j-1}| &\le \prod_{j=1}^n (|x_j| + |x_{j-1}|) \le \prod_{j=1}^n (1+|x_j|)(1+|x_{j-1}|) \\ &\le \prod_{j=1}^n (1+|x_j|)^2 \le 2\prod_{j=1}^n \left(1+|x_j|^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

with $x_0 = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\Lambda_1(0,t,n,\xi)]^p \prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{p(1+\gamma)\alpha} d\xi_j &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1+|\sum_{k=1}^j \xi_k'|^{1/H_1})^{-p} |\xi_j|^{p(1+\gamma)\alpha} d\xi_j \\ &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1+|x_j|^{1/H_1})^{-p} |x_j - x_{j-1}|^{p(1+\gamma)\alpha} dx_j \\ &\le C\prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|x_j|^{1/H_1})^{-p} \left(1+|x_j|^2\right)^{p(1+\gamma)\alpha} dx_j < \infty,\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

provided

$$\frac{p}{H_1} - 2p(1+\gamma)\alpha > 1. \tag{6.12}$$

Similarly, we have also

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\Lambda_2(t,t',n,\xi)]^q \prod_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{q(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} d\xi_j \\ &\qquad \le C(t'-t)^{nq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n \Big(1+(t'-t)|\sum_{k=1}^j \xi_k''|^{1/H_2}\Big)^{-q} |\xi_j|^{q(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} d\xi_j \\ &\qquad \le C(t'-t)^{nq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1+(t'-t)|x_j|^{1/H_2})^{-q} |x_j - x_{j-1}|^{q(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} dx_j \\ &\qquad \le C(t'-t)^{nq} \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+(t'-t)|x_j|^{1/H_2})^{-q} \left(1+|x_j|^2\right)^{q(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} dx_j \\ &\qquad \le C(t'-t)^{nq - n[1+2q(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)]H_2},\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$\frac{q}{H_2} - 2q(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) > 1. \tag{6.13}$$

It follows (6.10) that

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(t,t',n,\gamma) \leq C(t'-t)^{n\theta}$$

with $\theta \leq 1 - H_2\left(\frac{1}{q} + 2(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\right)$, provided

$$\begin{cases} 1 - H_2\left(\frac{1}{q} + 2(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\right) > 0, \\ \frac{q}{H_2} - 2q(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) > 1, \\ \frac{p}{H_1} - 2p(1+\gamma)\alpha > 1 \end{cases} . \tag{6.14}$$

Noting that (6.14) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} 2(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) < \frac{1}{H_2} - \frac{1}{q}, \\ 2(1+\gamma)\alpha < \frac{1}{H_1} - \frac{1}{p}, \end{cases}$$

we get $2\gamma < \frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2} - 3$, and moreover by taking $p = \frac{1}{\alpha}, q = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ we also have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - H_2\left(\frac{1}{q} + 2(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)\right) &= 1 - H_2(3+2\gamma)(1-\alpha) \\ &> 1 - H_2(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{H_1} + \frac{1}{H_2}\right) = \frac{1}{H_1}\left(\alpha(H_1+H_2) - H_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\frac{H_2}{H_1+H_2} < \alpha < 1$, which shows that

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(t,t',n,\gamma) \leq C(t'-t)^{n\beta}$$

with $\beta = \frac{1}{H_1}\left(\alpha(H_1+H_2) - H_2\right)$ and $\frac{H_2}{H_1+H_2} < \alpha < 1$. This completes the proof. □

References

[1] E. Alós, O. Mazet and D. Nualart, Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes, *Ann. Prob.* **29** (2001), 766-801.

[2] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal and T. Zhang. *Stochastic calculus for fBm and applications*, Probability and its application, Springer, Berlin (2008).

[3] N. Bouleau and M. Yor, Sur la variation quadratique des temps locaux de certaines semimartingales, *C R Acad Sci Paris Sér I Math.* **292** (1981), 491-494.

[4] P. Cheridito and D. Nualart, Stochastic integral of divergence type with respect to fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **41** (2005), 1049-1081.

[5] C. Chen and L. Yan, Remarks on the intersection local time of fBms, *Statist. Prob. Lett.* **81** (2011), 1003-1012.

[6] L. Decreusefond and A.S. Üstünel, Stochastic analysis of the fractional Brownian motion, *Potential Anal.* **10** (1999), 177-214.

[7] Dudley, R.M. and Norvaiša, R.: *An Introduction To p-Variation and Young Integrals*, Lecture Notes, MaPhySto 1998.

[8] N. Eisenbaum, Integration with respect to local time, *Potential Anal.* **13** (2000), 303-328.

[9] N. Eisenbaum, Local time-space stochastic calculus for Lévy processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 116 (2006), 757-778.

[10] M. Errami and F. Russo, n-covariation, generalized Dirichlet processes and calculus with respect to finite cubic variation process, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **104** (2003), 259-299.

[11] C. Feng and H. Zhao, Two-parameters p,q-variation Paths and Integrations of Local Times, *Potential Anal.* **25** (2006), 165-204.

[12] C. Feng and H. Zhao, Local time rough path for Lévy processes, *Elect. J. Probab.* **15** (2010), 452-483.

[13] H. Föllmer, Ph. Protter and A. N. Shiryayev, Quadratic covariation and an extension of Itô's formula, *Bernoulli* **1** (1995), 149-169.

[14] D. Geman and J. Horowitz, Occupation densities, *Ann. Probab.* **8** (1980), 1-67.

[15] M. Gradinaru, I. Nourdin, F. Russo and P. Vallois, m-order integrals and generalized Itô's formula; the case of a fBm with any Hurst index, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **41** (2005), 781-806.

[16] M. Gradinaru, F. Russo, P. Vallois, Generalized covariations, local time and Stratonovich Itôs formula for fBm with Hurst index $H \geq \frac{1}{4}$, *Ann. Probab.* **31** (2003), 1772-820.

[17] Y. Hu, Self-intersection local time for fBms—via chaos expansion, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **41** (2001), 233-250.

[18] Y. Hu, Integral transformations and anticipative calculus for fBms, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **175** (2005), No. **825**.
[19] Y. Jiang and Y. Wang, On the collision local time of fBms, *Chin. Ann. Math.* **28** (2007), 311-320.
[20] P. Jung and G. Markowsky, On the Tanaka formula for the derivative of self-intersection local time of fBm, preprint 2012 (http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/1205.5551).
[21] P. Jung and G. Markowsky, Hölder continuity and occupation-time formulas for fBm self-intersection local time and its derivative, to appear in *J. Theoret. Prob.* 2012.
[22] Y. S. Mishura, *Stochastic Calculus for fBm and Related Processes*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1929** (2008).
[23] S. Moret and D. Nualart, Quadratic Covariation and Itô's Formula for Smooth Nondegenerate Martingales, *J. Theoret. Probab.*, **13** (2000), 193-224.
[24] I. Nourdin, *Selected aspects of fractional Brownian motion*, Springer Verlag (Bocconi and Springer Series) 2012.
[25] D. Nualart, *Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics,* 2nd edn. Springer-Verlag 2006.
[26] D. Nualart and S. Ortiz-Latorre, Intersection local time for two independent fBms, *J. Theor. Probab.* **20** (2007), 759-767.
[27] C. G. Rogers and J. B. Walsh, $A(t, B_t)$ is not a semimartingale, Seminar on Stochastic Processes, *Progress in Probability*, **24** (1990), 457-482.
[28] C. G. Rogers and J. B. Walsh, Local time and stochastic area integrals, *Ann. Probab.* **19** (1991), 457-482.
[29] J. Rosen, Derivatives of self-intersection local time, *Lect. Notes Math.* **1857** (2005), 263-281.
[30] F. Russo and P. Vallois, Itô formula for $\mathcal{C}^1$-functions of semimartingales, *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields.* **104** (1996), 27-41.
[31] F. Russo and P. Vallois, Elements of stochastic calculus via regularization, *Séminaire de Probabilités* **XL** (2007), 147-185.
[32] S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equation and Malliavin calculus.* Tata Institute of Fundamental Reaearch, Springer, New York 1984.
[33] D. Wu and Y. Xiao, Regularity of intersection local times of fBms, *J. Theor.Probab.* **23** (2010), 972-1001.
[34] L. Yan, B. Gao and J. Liu, The Bouleau-Yor identity for a bi-fBm, *Stochastic*, **86** (2014), 382-414.
[35] L. Yan, J. Liu and C. Chen, The generalized quadratic covariation for fBm with Hurst index less than 1/2, to appear in *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 2014.
[36] L. Yan, J. Liu and X. Yang, Integration with respect to fractional local time, *Potential Anal.* **30** (2009), 115-138.
[37] L. Yan and X. Yang, p-variation of an integral functional driven by fBm, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **78** (2008), 1148-1157.
[38] L. C. Young, An inequality of Hölder type, connected with Stieltjes integration, *Acta Math.* **67** (1936) 251-282.